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## PREFACE.

Three of the Greek tragedies now extant are occupied witl the display of divine justice which was made when Orestes slew his father's murderers. These are the Choëphori of Eschylus, and the Electras of Sophocles and Euripides. The latter poet has failed in his Electra, and almost burlesqued the subject. He derives some excuse, perhaps, from coming last, and from being obliged, for the sake of novelty, to depart from the poetical form of the fable. But Sophocles was so situated when he wrote his Philoctetes, and yet succeeded to admiration.
Sophocles was aided in his Electra by the work of his predecessor, as is shown by a number of parallel words and expressions, and by resemblances in the plots. In both plays, Orestes places a lock of hair upon his father's grave : in both, Clytemnestra has a foreboding dream, and sends a libation to the grave of Agamemnon: in both, Ægisthus is away from home until near the catastrophe : in both, Orestes brings news of his own death, and, having entered the palace, slays the murderers by guile. But the action of the Choëphori is short and simple. No sooner is the libation, already spoken of, poured forth, than Orestes appears and makes known the mission upon which Apollo had sent him; long and earnest prayers are then offered up for his success; he is encouraged by hearing of his mother's dream, and declares his intention to deceive her by bring-
ing news of his own death. This intention he executes; Clytemnestra receives him as a guest, and sends for her husband that he may confer with and entertain the stranger. Ægisthus is slain at the moment of his return; his wife, hearing the cries, runs from the women's apartment, and pleads with Orestes in vain for life. After the murder, Orestes appears on the stage with the shirt in which Agamemnon had been entangled, excuses the matricide, and feels the first attack of madness caused by the avenging Furies.

The essential difference between these two plays lies in the point from which the poets looked at divine justice, and in the views which they entertained of it. Æschylus looks at it, as it is in itself, as an irresistible decree going forth upon its work. Hence the action moves forward without complication or delay. Scarcely has the minister of wrath drank confidence in heaven from prayer, than the death-cry is heard, and all is over. There is no opposition from enemies to be overcome, no diversity of feeling among the actors, no alternation of hope and fear. But Sophocles exhibits divine justice as it affects the human mind by its delay, its approach, and its infliction. He calls it down into the sphere of Electra's mind. She represents the impotence and ignorance of man, when he waits long in vain for the punishment of wickedness, and, in despair of aid from heaven, loses faith in divine justice. Meanwhilc, though he knows it not, divine justice, at the right moment, deceives the wicked, and makes them sure of impunity. They believe that their success has reached its highest point, and begin to boast; when, in a moment, to use the noble words of Æschylus in the Furies, "Their prow strikes on the rock of justice, and they sink, unwept, unknown."

The light in which the two poets view divine justice is not the same. In Æschylus, wisdom and vengeance are
discordant powers ; and when Apollo, the agent of Providence, has commanded the punishment of Clytemnestra, the Furies attack Orestes with madness for obeying the god. This strife no longer appears in the Electra, where justice is represented as vengeance guided by wisdom, and Orestes, after the close of his work, is calm and sane. Fsschylus makes the Furies, so to speak, personifications of an impulse which wreaks itself upon the violator of natural order, whether he is engaged on the side of justice or not, - of a blind power, which, like the fiery furnace in Scripture, burns the ministers of the highest authority ; Sophocles places the whole plot in the hands of Divine Intelligence, leaves the Furies but a very subordinate part, and does not imagine that any atonement is demanded from Orestes for a deed which the god has justified.

It accords with the distinctive character of this tragedy, that Electra plays the principal part. Her lonely attitude at first, as the sole friend of the right cause, her hatred of her father's murderers, her complete despair when the death of Orestes is announced, her resolution to become herself the minister of divine wrath, her joy when Orestes at length appears, her coöperation at last, are situations or states of mind into which she naturally falls, as in her human ignorance she beholds the movements of divine justice. Her peculiar traits of character are much like those of Antigone, only that from the nature of her situation the passive predominates over the active, and her feelings, finding no vent in deeds, have acquired an unusual degree of bitterness. Her sister Chrysothemis contrasts with her, as Ismene with Antigone.

The action of divine justice itself is seen only at intervals until the close. In the Prologue, it reveals its plan for the murder. Afterwards it gives a premonition of its approach by the dream sent to Clytemnestra. It then deseives her by a feigned narrative of the death of Orestes.

Having thus produced a fatal security in the wicked, it reveals its purpose to the oppressed, first by the lock of hair found at the grave of Agamemnon, and then by the presence of Orestes, and the disclosure of the plot. After still further lulling its enemies asleep by the arrival of the urn which purports to hold the ashes of Orestes, it executes its purpose in the same covert manner in which it had moved on before, and the last victim, Egisthus, falls into the snare amid his very threats and boasts of triumph.

The range of incidents in this drama is quite narrow. Orestes, coming to Mycenæ as a minister of divine wrath, forms a plot to ensnare the murderers of his father, based on the news of his own death. Thus vengeance does its work by seeming to have given it up. Amid the despair of Electra and the security of Clytemnestra, the bolt of retribution is hurled. The plot consists of preparations for the triumph of justice, and the execution passes onward rapidly at the end.
In this last point the drama wears somewhat of a modern character. Many Greek plays continue, after the action is closed, to unfold the feelings of the characters. Here, however, the feeling precedes, being called forth, as we have said, by the delay of justice, and the crowning action is compressed into the last hundred lines. We may compare it to a thunder-cloud, which, slowly and silently rising in the sky, scems to have scattered its electric power, when suddenly the lightning bursts from it the more awful for the delay, and the storm sweeps over the earth.
It will not be thouglt an objection to this drama, that the spectator knows beforehand what is hidden from the characters. If it wants the effect of surprise, if the denouement is in a degree anticipated, the spectator gains, on the other hand, by being admitted to the secrets of divine justice; he sympathizes with the hopeless Electra without being hopeless, and enjoys the delusion of her mother and Egisthus
without being deceived. From a higher level he looks down on ignorant mortals, as they despair and complain, or as they feel secure in sin; and he walks in the train of justice at the last to honor its triumph.

This drama, as we have said, not being rich in incident, has chiefly a subjective interest, and is properly called Electra, not Orestes, from the correct tact of the poet in making hers the principal part. In carrying out his plan, the poet puts a depth of feeling into the principal character, and presents to us a succession of contrasts in a way which indicates the highest skill. We cannot help feeling that this plot could not have been managed better, and that the wonderful art of Sophocles appears nowhere to more ad vantage. What can be finer than the scene where Electra gives up all for lost, and, holding the supposed ashes of her last hope in her hands, indulges her passionate despair. But the whole of the close, - the recognition, the exulting joy, which almost forgets the work of death, the dreadful voices of the slain queen from within, the double meanings with which Ægisthus is mocked, and his sudden discovery of the snare set for him, - all this is among the most masterly passages of ancient tragedy. Indeed, the whole play is a series of contrasts. At first we see Electra's grief contrasted with the soberer tone of the affectionate Chorus; then the two sisters widely differing in courage, judgment, and hope; then Electra and her mother in their marked opposition; then the alarm of the queen inspired by the night-vision, succeeded by her joy at the news of Orestes's death; then the feelings of the mother and the murderess contending for a moment; * then the hopes of

[^0]the amiable Chrysothemis, suddenly cast down; then Electra's heroic resolve to slay Ægisthus, opposed by her timid and prudent sister, and the consequent strife mounting into bitterness of feeling on Electra's part; then the contrast between Electra's prostrate despair and her feelings after the recognition; - these, with the sudden death of Clytemnestra at the moment of her entire security, and the haughty command of Ægisthus to open the gates and show the corpse of Orestes to the ill-affected, succeeded by his cry, ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu 0 \iota \tau i \lambda \epsilon \dot{\lambda} \sigma \sigma \omega$, are so many waves of feeling that rise and fall in succession through the drama, and render it, with all its poverty of outward incidents, one of the most stirring of all ancient plots. Over all these contrasts, occasioned by difference of judgments or temperaments or interests, as well as by human ignorance, a divine plan moves onward calm and slow, until it leaps of a sudden upon its victims.

A word respecting the text and the notes of this edition. The text given by the editor in 1837 followed Hermann's second edition more nearly than any other. In the revision made in 1841, a few changes were introduced into the text, and in the present revised edition, others still. The most important of these are mentioned in the notes. But we must refer the critical scholar to Dindorf's Oxford edition, and other helps, if he would pass judgment on our readings. The notes and the exhibition of the metres are thoroughly revised, and it is hoped improved, in this edition. In this revision we have been much aided by Schneidewin's Electra, published the present year.

Yale College, New Haven, November 18, 1853.

[^1]гOФOKAEOYェ HAERTPA.
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 ő $\pi \omega s$ тòv $\alpha v \tau o ́ \chi \varepsilon \iota \varrho \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varrho$ ต́ov 甲óvov














 970








 $\psi v \chi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \pi \rho o v ̉ \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\tau} \tau \eta$ 甲óvov. 980




 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$, , ${ }^{\omega}$ рí $\lambda \eta$, $\pi \varepsilon i ́ \sigma \theta \eta \tau \iota, ~ \sigma \nu \mu \pi o ́ v \varepsilon \iota ~ \pi \alpha \tau \varrho i$, $\sigma v ं \gamma x \alpha \mu \nu{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi \tilde{\varphi}, \pi \alpha \tilde{v} \sigma o \nu \dot{\varepsilon} x \chi \alpha x \omega \tilde{\nu} \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \dot{\varepsilon}$,

 $\mathrm{x} O P O \mathrm{P}$.



$$
X P Y \Sigma O Q E M I \Sigma .
$$















1005






1010





XOPOE.
 1015
 HAEKTPA.



 XPTIO $\mathcal{X} E M I \Sigma$.
$\varphi \in \tilde{v}$.


HAEKTPA.
 $X P T \Sigma O \Theta E M T \Sigma$.

HAEKTPA.


$$
X P T \Sigma O \Theta E M I \Sigma .
$$



$$
\Pi \Lambda E K T P A
$$



$$
X P Y \Sigma O O E M I \Sigma
$$



HAEKTPA.

$\mathrm{xPr} \mathrm{\Sigma OQEMI} \mathrm{\Sigma}$.

1030
IAEKTPA.

$\mathrm{XPT} \mathrm{\Sigma OOEMI} \mathrm{\Sigma}$.

HAEKTPA.
 $\mathrm{XPr} \mathrm{\Sigma O} \mathrm{\theta EMI} \mathrm{\Sigma}$.


HAEKTPA.
 XPrzoeemfi.


> HAEKTPA.

XPXIOQEMIE.
 HAEKTPA.
 XPTEOOEMIE.
 1040 IIAEKTPA.

xPrso

HAEKTPA.


## $H A E K T P A$.

$\mathrm{XPr} \mathrm{\Sigma O} \mathrm{\Theta EMI} \mathrm{\Sigma}$.
 HAE KTPA.

XPrIOOEMI工.

НАЕКтРА.


$$
X P r \Sigma O \theta E M I \Sigma .
$$

 HAEKTPA.


$$
X P r \Sigma O \Theta E M I \Sigma
$$


 HAEKTPA.



XPr




$$
X O P O E .
$$



 $\tau \varepsilon \lambda o \tilde{v} \mu \varepsilon \nu ;$ 1061

$$
1058-1069 .=1070-1081 .
$$

$\alpha^{3} \lambda \lambda$, , ov̉ $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu\left\langle\iota o s \alpha^{3} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \alpha ̀ \nu\right.$



 ỏveío $\eta$.

 бои̃т $\alpha$

${ }^{3} H \lambda \varepsilon ́ x \tau \rho \alpha, \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon i ̀ \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s$


 єтоі́цна,
阝入ќбта८; 1080
ov̉deis t $\dot{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \gamma \alpha \grave{\rho}$,

 1084




1090




$$
1082-1089 .=1090-1097 .
$$

甲єŋо $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha \nu \quad 1095$
 OPESTHE.

 XOPOE.


$$
O P E \Sigma T H \Sigma \text {. }
$$



$$
\mathrm{XOPOS} .
$$


OPESTHE.

 $x O P O E$.


 HAEKTPA.

 opesthes.

 HAEKт $\boldsymbol{P}$ А.
 OPESTHE.



$$
\text { II } A E K T P A .
$$




> OPEETHE.


HAE KTPA.

 1120


OPEETHE.




$$
H A E \kappa T P A .
$$







 $\chi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \psi \alpha \sigma \alpha ~ \tau \alpha \tilde{\nu} \nu \delta \varepsilon, x \alpha \dot{\nu} \alpha \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ 甲óvov,











 1145




 1150




















$$
X O P O \Sigma
$$



 OPEETHE.
$\varphi \varepsilon \tilde{v} \varphi \varepsilon \tilde{v}$. $\tau i ́ \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ́ \xi \xi \omega$; тоĩ $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \alpha^{\beta} \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \omega \nu$
 HAEKTPA.

OPE $\overline{C T H} \Sigma$.

HAEKTPA.
 OPESTHE.
 HAEKTPA.
 OPE $\bar{T} T \boldsymbol{S}$.


$$
H A E K T P A
$$

 OPE $\overline{\text { PTHE }}$.

$H A E K T P A$.
 OPESTIIS.
 1185 HAEKTPA.

OPESTHE.


$$
I A E K T P A
$$


OPESTIIE.
 HAEKTPA.

OPESTH工.

HAEKTPA.

O PESTII工.

HAEKTPA.

opestifs.
 HAEKTPA.

OPEETHE.

HAEKTPA.
 5*

$$
\text { OPE } \bar{T} T I \Sigma
$$

 HAEKTPA.
 1200

$$
\text { OPE } \operatorname{OTH\Sigma }
$$


HAEKTPA.
 OPESTHE.

HAEKTPA.


$$
O P E \Sigma T H \Sigma
$$



$$
H A E K T P A
$$

 OPEETHE.
 IIAEKTPA.
$\mu \eta ̀, \pi \varrho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon i ́ o v, \mu \eta ̀ ~ ' \xi \varepsilon ́ \lambda ̀ \eta \tau \alpha ̀$ 甲í $\lambda \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$. OPESTME.
ov̉̉ $\varphi \eta \mu^{3}$ दُ $\alpha \prime \sigma \varepsilon \iota \nu$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { HAEKTPA. }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{3} O_{\varrho} \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha, \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \sigma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \varepsilon i ̉ ~ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varrho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \alpha \varphi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. 1210 OPESTHE.
$\varepsilon थ ้ \varphi \eta \mu \alpha$ 甲ต́vєь. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta i ́ x \eta s ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \varrho ~ o v ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \iota \varsigma . ~$ HAEKTPA.


OPESTHE.

HAEKTPA.

OPE $\mathcal{P T H \Sigma}$.

1215
HAEKTPA.

OPESTHE.

HAEKTPA.

OPESTHE.

HAEKTPA.
$\pi \tilde{\omega} s \varepsilon^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \pi \alpha s, \tilde{\omega}^{\pi} \pi \alpha \tilde{\iota} ;$

## OPESTHE.


HAEKTPA.

OPE $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ THE.

HAEKTPA.

OPESTHE.
$\tau \eta ุ \prime \nu \delta \varepsilon \pi \varrho \circ \sigma b \lambda \varepsilon ́ \psi \alpha \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \nu v$
$\sigma \varphi \rho \alpha \gamma i ̃ \delta \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \varrho o ̀ s$, है $\varkappa \mu \alpha$ V' $^{\prime} \varepsilon i ̉ \sigma \alpha \varphi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$. HAEKTPA.
ต̃ píえtatov põs.

OPESTHE.

HAEKTPA.
 OPEVTME. $\mu \eta \varkappa \varepsilon^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}^{2} \lambda \lambda o \theta \varepsilon \nu \pi v i \theta \eta . \quad 1225$ HAEKTPA.
ย’ $\chi \omega$ бє $\chi \varepsilon \varrho \sigma i ́ v ;$
OPESTII.

HAEKTPA.


ท $\alpha \nu o ́ \nu \tau \alpha, \nu \tilde{v \nu} \delta \varepsilon ̀ \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \alpha i ̃ s ~ \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \nu . ~$
X OPOS.


HAE КTPA.
ic jovoil,
үovai $\sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ द̀ $\mu o \grave{c} \varphi \iota \lambda \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$,



$$
O P E \Sigma T H \Sigma .
$$

$\pi \alpha \prime \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \cdot \alpha^{3} \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \tilde{\imath} \gamma^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} \chi о v \sigma \alpha ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon$.
H.AEKTPA.

тí $\delta^{\prime} \notin \sigma \tau \iota \nu ;$

$$
O P E \Sigma T I \Sigma
$$



$$
1232-1252 .=1253-1272 .
$$

## HAEKTPA.

НАЕКтРА.
ふ̀ $\lambda \lambda$ ' ov̀ $\tau \alpha \dot{\nu}$ " $A \rho \tau \varepsilon \mu \iota \nu$
$\tau \alpha ̀ \nu \alpha \grave{\iota} \nu \nu \grave{\alpha} \delta \mu \eta \prime \tau \alpha \nu$,
тó $\delta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ ~ \pi о \tau ' ~ \alpha ’ \xi \iota ம ́ \sigma \omega ~ \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \iota ~$

OPE $\boldsymbol{O T H E}$.



HAEKTPA.
öтотототои̃ тотоน̃, 1245

 oỉov है $\varphi v, x \alpha x o ́ \nu$.

OPESTHE.

 HAEKTPA.




OPESTHE.

HAEKTPA.
$\tau i ́ \delta \rho \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha ;$

$$
O P E \Sigma T H \Sigma
$$


II $A E K T P A$.
Tís oviv $\alpha^{2} \nu \alpha_{s}^{3} \hat{s}^{\prime}<\alpha \nu$
$\gamma \varepsilon, \sigma о \tilde{v} \pi \varepsilon \varphi \eta \nu$ о́тоs,


OPESTHE.
 * * * *

HAEKTPA.
 1265


$\alpha v i t o ̀ ~ \tau i ́ \theta \eta \mu^{3}$ है $\gamma \dot{\omega}$.
OPESTHE.

 HAEKTPA.



OPESTHE.
$\tau i ́ \mu \eta ̀ \pi o \iota \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { H, AEKTPA. } \\
& \mu \eta^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \eta,
\end{aligned}
$$


OPESTHE.
 HAEKTPA.
$\xi_{\imath v \nu \alpha \iota \nu \varepsilon i ̃ s ; ~}$

$$
O P E \Sigma T H \Sigma
$$

тí $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ ov̉;

HAEKTPA.






OPESTHE.














> HAEKTPA.






















$$
X O P O E .
$$




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { HAEKTPA. }
\end{aligned}
$$




$$
\Pi A I A A \Gamma \Omega \Gamma O \Sigma .
$$


 ท̆ ข



## HAEKTPA.








 OPESTHE.


$$
\Pi A I A A T \Omega \Gamma O \Sigma
$$


OPE $\boldsymbol{C T H E}$.


 OPESTHE.
 ПAIAATתГO.



HAEKTPA.
 OPESTHE.
oủxi svvíns;
HAEKTPA.

OPEETHE.

$\pi o i ́ \varphi ; ~ \tau i ́ ~ \varphi \omega \nu \varepsilon I ̃ s ; ~$
OPE $\mathcal{E T H} \mathrm{E}$.

ข゙ $\pi \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \varphi \theta \eta \nu, \sigma \tilde{\eta} \pi \varrho \circ \mu \eta \theta i ́ \alpha, \chi, \chi \varepsilon \varrho \circ \tilde{\imath} \nu$.
HAEKTPA.



OPEETHE.

$\dot{H} A E K T P A$.






 1360




$$
\Pi A I \triangle A \Gamma \Omega \Gamma O \Sigma
$$


 1365







$$
O P E \Sigma T^{\prime} I I \Sigma
$$






## HAEKTPA.




 $\alpha i \tau \omega \tilde{,}, \pi \varrho о \pi \iota \tau \nu \tilde{\omega}, ~ \lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota, ~ \gamma \varepsilon \nu о \tilde{v} \pi \varrho \varrho ́ \varphi \varrho \rho \omega \nu$




$$
X O P O \Sigma
$$


$\tau o ̀ ~ \delta \nu \sigma \varepsilon ́ \rho \iota \sigma \tau o v ~ \alpha i ̃ \mu \alpha ~ \varphi v \sigma \omega ̃ \nu " ~ A \rho \eta s . ~$ 1385

 ๙้ $\varphi v \varkappa \tau о \iota ~ \varkappa ข ์ \nu \varepsilon \varsigma, ~$


$\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ́ \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \gamma \alpha \varrho \varrho$ èvย́ $\rho \omega \nu$

 $1384-1390 .=1391-1397$.

ó Maías $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha$ ĩs
1395


HAEKTPA.

 $X O P O E$.
$\pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \delta \eta \eta^{\prime} ; \tau i ́ \nu \tilde{v} \nu \pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$; HAEKтPA.


$\mathrm{X} O P O \Sigma$.

hatктPA.


кATtaiminetea.
$\alpha i \alpha i$. i $\omega \omega$ oté $\gamma \alpha \iota$

HAEKTPA.
 XOPOE.


$$
K A T T A I M N H \Sigma T P A
$$



$$
\Pi A E K T P A
$$

ỉov̀ $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda$ ’ $\alpha$ ṽ Эgocĩ tıs.

$$
1398-1421 .=1422-1441
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { II } A E K T P A . \\
& \alpha_{\alpha}^{2} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \text { ov } x \text { दُ } \chi \text { of } \theta \varepsilon \nu
\end{aligned}
$$


XOPOE.
 $\mu 0 i ̃ \rho \alpha \quad x \alpha \theta \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho^{\prime} \alpha, ~ \varphi \theta i ́ v \varepsilon \iota ~ \varphi \theta i ́ \nu \varepsilon \iota$.

$$
K A X T A I M N I S T P A .
$$

${ }_{\omega}{ }^{\mu} \mu \circ \iota \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \alpha \iota$.
ITAEKTPA. $\pi \alpha \tilde{\tau} \sigma 0 \nu, \varepsilon i{ }^{\imath} \sigma \theta \varepsilon ́ \nu \varepsilon \iota s, \delta \iota \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \nu .1415$

$$
K A P T A I M N U S T P A .
$$

${ }^{\omega} \mu \mu \iota \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda^{\prime} \alpha \tilde{v} \theta \iota s$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { HAEKTPA. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x O P O \Sigma \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$



HAE KTPA.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& O P E \Sigma T H \Sigma . \\
& \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \delta \dot{\mu} \mu \sigma \sigma \iota \mu \varepsilon ̀ v
\end{aligned}
$$



HAEKTPA．
$\tau \varepsilon ์ \theta \nu \eta \chi \varepsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha ;$
OPESTIIE．
$\mu \eta \times \varepsilon ́ \tau$＇$̇$ ẻxpoboü

HAEKTPA．
＊＊＊＊
＊＊＊＊＊＊＊＊＊
OPEETHE．
＊＊＊＊＊＊＊＊＊ $X O P O E$ ．
 OPEETHE．
＊＊＊＊＊＊＊＊＊
HAEKTPA．

OPESTHE．
عīoogäte $\pi 0 \tilde{v}$
1430
тòv $\alpha^{2 \prime} \nu \delta \varrho^{\prime}$ ；

$$
H A E K T P A .
$$


$\chi \propto \rho \varepsilon i ̃ ~ \gamma \varepsilon \gamma \eta \theta$ लेs＊＊＊＊

$$
X O P O \Sigma
$$


 OPESTHE．
ヲ人́gбє८ • $\tau \varepsilon \lambda 0 \tilde{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \nu$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { HAEKTPA. } \\
& \bar{\eta} \nu 0 \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} S \text { है } \pi \varepsilon \ell \gamma \varepsilon \dot{c} \nu \nu \nu .
\end{aligned}
$$

## OPEETHE.



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { HAEKTPA. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { XOPOE. }
\end{aligned}
$$





$$
A I I I \Sigma \theta O \Sigma .
$$







HAEKTPA.



$$
A I \Gamma I \Sigma \theta O \Sigma .
$$


HAEKTPA.


$$
A I \Gamma I \Sigma O O \Sigma .
$$

 HAEKTPA.


$$
A I T I \Sigma Q O \Sigma .
$$

 НАЕКтРА.


ATII工OOS.
 HAEKTPA.
 AITIइOO .
$\sigma \iota \gamma \tilde{\alpha} \nu \not ้ \nu \omega \gamma \alpha \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \delta \iota \chi \nu \dot{v} \nu \alpha \iota \pi v i \lambda \alpha s$ $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu ~ M u x \eta \nu \alpha i ́ o \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ ' A \rho \gamma \varepsilon i ́ o \iota s ~ Э ' ' ~ o ́ g \tilde{\alpha} \nu$,



 I AEKTPA.



$$
A I T I \Sigma \theta O \Sigma .
$$


 $\chi \alpha \lambda \tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \pi \tilde{\alpha} \nu \quad \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \nu \mu{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\partial} \rho \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu, o ̈ \sigma \omega \omega$

OPESTUE.


AITI工OO
$\alpha^{3} \lambda \lambda ’ \varepsilon \tilde{v} \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \iota \nu \varepsilon \tilde{s},{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \pi \varepsilon i ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota \cdot \sigma \hat{v} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$,


$$
O P E \Sigma T H \Sigma
$$



$$
A I F I \Sigma \theta O \Sigma
$$

oỉ $\mu o t, \tau i ́ \lambda \varepsilon v ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$;

OPESTHE.
 AITIEOOE.
 $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \tau \omega \chi^{\prime}$ оे $\tau \lambda \eta \eta_{\mu}^{\mu} \omega \nu$;

OPESTHE.
ov̉ $\gamma \alpha \varrho \varrho \alpha i \sigma \theta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \iota ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota$


$$
A I I I \Sigma \Theta O \Sigma
$$




OPEETHE.

AITIEOOE.



> HAEKTPA.
> $\mu \eta ̀ \pi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \alpha \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota \nu$ है $\alpha$

 1485



 $\mu$ о́vov ү'

## OPESTHE.




## $70 \Sigma O \Phi O K A E O Y \Sigma H A E K T P A$.

AITISOOX.

 ofesthi.

 AITIEOOE.

 of PETHE.
 AITIEOOE.


$$
O P E \Sigma T H \Sigma .
$$

 $\alpha^{3} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \rho \rho \varphi$ '.

$$
A I \Gamma I \Sigma \theta O \Sigma
$$

ข์qทүoũ.
OPESTHE.
боỉ $\beta$ aסıotéov $\pi$ ágos.
AITIEOOE.
$\bar{\eta}^{\mu} \mu \bar{\eta} \varphi v v^{\prime} \omega \sigma \varepsilon$;

$$
O P E \Sigma T H \Sigma
$$






XOPOE.

 $\tau \tilde{\eta} \nu \tilde{v} \nu \delta \rho \mu \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \omega \theta \theta^{\prime} \nu$.

## NOTES.

- 
- 


## NOTES.

Divistions of the Drama. - 1. Prologue, 1-85. Orestes, his friend, and his guardian-servant concert the plot, which is thus made known to the spectators. They withdraw to go to Agamemnon's tomb. 2. First Epeisodium, 86-471. . This consists of an anapæstic dirge by Electra, composed strophically, 86-120; of a Kommos in lieu of the usual choral Parodus, in which the Chorus sympathizes with Electra, and seeks to moderate her grief, 121-250; and of a dialogue in trimeters, 251-471. At v. 328 Chrysothemis, Electra's sister, comes out from the palace with mortuary offerings in her hand, which she has been ordered to carry to the slain king's tomb. She informs her sister of a nocturnal vision which had alarmed their mother. 3. First Stasimum, $472-515$. The Chorus forebodes a guileful attack of divine justice on the queen and her mate, and deplores the hereditary woes of the Pelopidæ. 4. Second Epeisodium, 516-1057. The queen proceeds from the palace to ask of Apollo, whose statue stood by the portal, deliverance from all threatened evil, which her night vision might portend. She falls into a debate with Electra, in which she justifies Agamemnon's murder, as a requital for the sacrifice of Iphigenia. She prays to Apollo, and the prayer seems to be at once answered, for a pre-
tended messenger from a friend gives a thrilling account of Orestes's death. He is the old guardian-servant, and enters the palace with the queen to partake of her hospitalities, 516-822. After a few words of bitterness and despair from Electra comes a Kommos, in which the Chorus, though crying to heaven for vengeance, urges Electra to moderation, and seeks in vain to console her, 804-870. Her sister then appears, on her return from the tomb, with the news that offerings, and among them a lock of hair, had been placed on the mound, which could have come from no one but Orestes. Electra soon unde. ceives her, and avows her purpose, now that their brother is no more, to slay Ægisthus with her own hand. Her sister's attempt to dissuade her provokes her to use words of bitterness and scorn. Chrysothemis retires into the palace. 871-1057. 5. Second Stasimum, 1058-1097. The Chorus regrets the want of readiness on the part of Chrysothemis to espouse her father's cause, is confident that vengeance must come, and applauds Electra's nobleness of mind. 6. Third Epeisodium, 1098-1383. A messenger appears, pretending to be sent by a family relative with the ashes of Orestes. Electra takes the urn into her hands, and breathes out her soul over her brother's remains. When the messenger finds that all is safe, he discloses himself to be Orestes, and proves it by a signet. 10981231. The feelings of the two burst forth, first in a lyric passage, $\dot{a} \pi \grave{̀}$ oк $\kappa \eta \nu \bar{\eta} s, 1232-1287$; and Orestes seems, in his joy, to be forgetting his duty as an avenger of blood, when the guardian-servant (1326) comes forth and urges to instant action. Electra, after recognizing the old man, implores Apollo to aid the cause of righteousness. 12881383. 7. Third Stasimum, 1384-1397. Short, as if divine justice could not wait. The presentiment of v .472 is on the eve of fulfilment ; fraud and force will soon deal the blow. 8. Exodus, 1398-1510. The death-cries of Clytemnestra
are heard from within. This terrible scene first takes the form of a Kommos, during which Orestes comes forth dripping with gore. Ægisthus is seen at a distance. 13981441. Orestes returns into the palace. Electra, recovering her composure, "palters" with Ægisthús " in a double sense." He enters, sees the queen's corpse, and finds himself in the avenger's grasp, who forces him away to the spot where he killed Agamemnon that he may die there. The Chorus retires, satisfied that the family of Atreus has by this struggle freed itself from its woes. 1442-1510.

In three scenes three actors appear on the scene together. The chief actor sustained the part of Electra; the second, those of Clytemnestra and Orestes; and the third, those of the guardian-servant, Chrysothemis, and Ægisthus. In order to do this, the second actor must enter the house from behind, after seeming to go to the tomb of Agamemnon, and the third must go into the house as the guardianservant at v. 803, and, passing round behind, appear as Chrysothemis returning from the tomb at v. 871. The third actor, again, must probably have entered the house with Orestes, after v. 1370, and returns in the character of Ægisthus at v. 1429.

1-10. The old servant, standing at the gate of Mycenæ, points out to Orestes and Pylades the principal objects that were in sight. He begins with the territory which lay around them, for "Apros here, as often in Homer and elsewhere, denotes the region. Indeed, this was its earlier signification, for the word meant a plain in the language of the Pelasgi. The region Argos is called the ${ }^{a} \lambda \sigma \sigma o s$, or sacred plot, of Io, something as the city Thebes, in Antig. 845 , is called the ä $\lambda \pi \sigma o s$ of the local goddess Theba; as Elis is styled by Pindar (Isthm. 2. 40) the ädoos of Olympian Jupiter, and Africa the $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ (Pyth. 4. 98) of Ju-
piter; and as Egypt is named by Æschylus (Suppl. 561) $\Delta i o \nu \pi$ á $\mu \beta o r o \nu$ ä̀ $\lambda$ ros. The city Argus, which was about fifty stades distant from Mycenæ, is next denoted by its agora, called $\Lambda \hat{v} \kappa \epsilon$ tos, as being under the protection of Apollo Lycius, whose temple, one of the most important in Greece, stood on one side of it. (See Siebelis on Pausan. 2. 19; Leake's Morea, Vol. II. p. 403.) Nearer to their position was the famous temple of Juno, protectress of Argos, distant five-and-forty stades (Herodot. 1. 31) or forty (Strabo, p. 368) from this city, and fifteen (Pausan. 2. 17) or ten (Strabo, u. s.) from Mycenæ, and lying on the left hand to

 the place to which they were come was Mycenæ, rich in gold (Iliad vii. 180); and they stood before the house of its kings, or its acropolis. For the description of this acropolis, and of its recessed gateway, as well as of the strange subterraneous chamber called the treasury of Atreus, the reader is referred to Clarke's Travels, Amer. ed., Vol. IV. p. 177 ; Dodwell's Greece, Vol. II. p. 228 ; Leake's Morea, Vol.II. pp. 369-382 ; Curtins's Peloponnesus, Vol. II. pp. 404-415. Though it is not certain that Sophocles had inspected this scene, nor probable that it was represented before the eyes of the theatre as it appeared, yet it is certain that this description agrees well with what the existing remains show to have been the reality. The opinion of those critics, including also the writers of the Argument, and the Scholiast, who have thought that the poet laid his scene in Argos, and confounded Argos and Mycenæ, seems to be refuted by oi $\delta^{\circ}$ ikávouev, v. 8, which shows that the place where they had arrived was different from those previously pointed out, and was now mentioned for the first time. There is, however, no doubt that the two cities were often confounded by the tragic poets, as Strabo remarks, Lib. 8, p. 377. Fschylus never mentions Mycenæ. But

Sophocles follows Homer more closely. -Tooia, the ager Trojanus, or Troad; as in Eurip. Androm. 968 ; $\dot{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \chi \bar{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ év Kopiver, in the Corinthian territory, Demosth. contra Leptinem, p. 472 Reiske. - à $\lambda$ ros is in apposition with *Apyos, and róce is the subject of écrì understood. - aüry. The temple, being nearer to the travellers, is pointed out by
 the ordinary perfect sense of $\eta_{k \omega}, I$ am come, as in v. 1102, and Antig. 224. - фárкelv is used for an imperative, as in Philoctet. 1411, ©d. R. 462 ; K. § 306, R. 11 ; Cr. § 625 ;
 denotes here to declare, to say with satisfaction. - $\delta \bar{\omega} \mu a$ is in the accusative according to Herm.
18. $\sigma a \phi \hat{\eta}$ expresses the effect of $\kappa \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{1},=\breve{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma a \phi \bar{\eta}$ єivau. See Antig. 791, and comp. v. 27.
19. єं่ф $\rho$ óv ä $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu$, night of stars, i. e. starry night. So
 making my way to the star-bright heavens. Comp. $\pi$ répuyt
 for $\epsilon$ écuv́es, derived from $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \phi \rho \omega \nu$, and used in order to avoid the ill omen which the utterance of these words of gloomy import on certain occasions would afford.
21. Pylades is a mute character : otherwise, in the closing part of the play there would be four speakers on the stage, which was not allowed. The old attendant invites both to deliberate, but Orestes says all that is necessary; the plan having been completely arranged between them.
 form Callimachus uses in a fragment. But if Sophocles had made use of it, we should have known of the fact from the grammarians. Probably èvraì ${ }^{\prime}$ supplies the place of two lost syllables. кäध́ctapev has been conjectured, which Wunder adopts.
22. For the government of ókveiv, comp. v. 1338, 1368, and K. § 306, c.
24. The construction of $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \omega \bar{s}$ with $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a$ фaiveıs is the same as with $\delta \eta \lambda o i s$ or qaivels alone. K. $§ 310, \mathrm{~b} ; \mathrm{Cr}$. § 633.
26. $\mathfrak{a} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$. The aorist, as is frequent in comparisons, denotes that which is observed to happen generally and without reference to time, whenever the supposed case can occur. In the next clause, $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{o}} \rho \partial \mathrm{d} \nu$ is not an epithet of ouvs, but
 iotávtes tà $̂$ ڤ̂ta.
27. "Quemadmodum, post ${ }^{\circ} \tau \epsilon,{ }_{k}^{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i, \tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime} \omega \varsigma$, sæpe in apodosi ponitur $\delta \epsilon$, ita etiam in comparationibus. Proprie in his formulis abrumpi oratio post protasin existimanda est, et deinde atio modo continuari." Herm.
34. áoí $\eta \eta$ may, as Herm. observes, be either in the future or the second aorist optative. In oratio recta, the

 first aorist.
35. $\chi p \hat{\eta}$ is from $\chi \rho^{\prime} \omega, I$ give an oracle, being contracted

36. aùtóv, in person, and not by the agency of another.
37. Divine justice appointed Orestes, as next of kin to the slain, not only to requite blood with blood, but also guile with guile. Thus not only exact retribution was obtained from the guileful murderers, but the measure also was pursued, of suddenly surprising them in the midst of their guilty enjoyment;-a measure which the Greeks, like the rest of mankind, naturally felt to be worthy of divine justice, and saw pursued in the system of the world. - $\chi \epsilon \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ is to be taken with oфayás, and shows more fully that the murder must be the work of his own hand.
 utter with guile; $\mu a ́ \chi a s ~ \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \delta o ́ \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, Eurip. Bellerophon, frag., wage or win by stealth.
40. nầ тò $\delta \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \nu 0 \nu$, all that is doing or going on. The
passive participle here denotes exact present time, as in v. 1333.

42, 43. $\alpha \dot{v} \mu \grave{\eta} \gamma^{\nu} \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota=o v ̀ ~ \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma o \nu \tau a l$. See the note on v. 1052. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is not to be supplied in the next clause after
 denote the gray hair of the aged servant. Comp. $\lambda$ eukavès кápa, (Ed. $\cdot$ R. 742. For by reason of thine old age and the length of time, they will not know thee, nor will they even suspect thee, since thy head is thus silvered over with age. But Bothe, and after him Wunder, make $\grave{\eta} \nu \theta \sigma \sigma \mu_{\hat{E} \nu o \nu}$ mean floribus ornatum, i. e. crowned as the bearer of good news. The latter observes that two reasons are given why the old servant should not be recognized. 1. The change brought upon him。by old age, and the length of time since he had been seen, would prevent his being known. 2. The joyful message and crown of flowers would preclude even suspicion.
45. du $\nu \mathrm{\delta} \rho \mathrm{~d}$ s Фavoré $\omega \mathrm{s}$, viro quopiam nomine Phanoteo. Herm. àvodos is added, to make it seem that the old man does not know much of Phanoteus, or of his relations with Ægisthus. Phanoteus was the friend of Ægisthus according to v . 671, and would naturally be the first to send such pleasant tidings. He is not to be confounded with Strophius (v. 1111), whom Euripides makes the father of Pylades, and allied in marriage to the house of Atreus (Iph. in Taur. 917-919), and who, as next of kin, and living near the Pythian course (Pind. Pyth. 11. 54), had the care of the corpse of Orestes.
46. $\tau v \gamma \chi^{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon$ e. For the omission of ${ }^{\circ} \nu$, comp.-Antig. 487,
 similar ellipsis in English.
47. $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \tau \theta \epsilon \epsilon i$, , i. e. $\pi$. aùtóv, sc. т̀̀े öpkov. This con-

 servant's mind to dwell the longer upon the importance of making use of the oath.
48. avaykaias ruxns. This phrase in Ajax 485 is used of the unavoidable or constrained condition of slavery. Here it denotes an unavoidable disaster, one that came upon him with irresistible violence, a violent death.
49. The Schol. calls attention to the anachronism here; the Pythian games being of later origin. According to the fable, however, they were first instituted when Apollo slew Python.
 the ornament of locks of hair cut from the head. The locks are called $\chi^{\lambda} \delta \delta a i$ as decorations of the head or of the tomb. The Schol. gives both explanations. The first is to be preferred. Comp. Eurip. Phœniss. 223, кópas दُ̣̀̀̀ . . . . . $\pi$ ap-

54. тin $\omega \mu a=a ̈ \gamma \gamma a s$, properly a vessel made by beating
 idiom see Æsch. Prometh. 362, 711. - The expression
 ры́ $\mu$ ата, Æsch. Choëph. 675 (686).

55, 56. тov, opinor. Take the particle with oig*a. So Monk. and Herm. But $\mu o t$ is read instead of mov by an excellent MS. - ${ }^{\lambda \lambda \dot{e ́} \pi \tau o \nu \tau \epsilon s}=\dot{\mathbf{a}} \pi a \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s . \quad$ Comp. Antig. 681, 1218.
61. kakáy, of bad omen. So Brunck and Wunder. It was of ill omen to be reported dead. Comp. Eurip. He-


 win, after $\delta o k \hat{\omega} \mu \dot{\mu} \nu$, and take $\hat{\rho} \eta \mu a$ for a nominative.
 of Ulysses and Pythagoras, who reappeared after being reputed dead. How Herm. can suppose that the allusion is to men sent into exile and then recalled, I see not ; since reputed death is expressly mentioned.
65, 66. "Perperam a Brunckio illatum ${ }^{\circ} s$ [thus]. Ut ôs
sæpe significantius in principio orationis ponitur, et apud Latinos qui, sic etiam $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ s [in which way]." Herm. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$ ф $\dot{\eta} \mu \eta \boldsymbol{s}$ is taken with $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \psi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \bar{\delta} \epsilon \delta \partial \rho \kappa o ́ \tau a$, and not with the latter word only. $\quad \delta \varepsilon \delta о \rho к \dot{\sigma} \tau a=\zeta \bar{\omega} \nu \tau a . \quad$ Comp. $\beta \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ so used, Eurip. Alcest. 143. - à áo, as the result of.
72. Supply the verb $\delta \in \xi a \sigma \theta \epsilon$, which is suggested by its opposite àmoбтєі入ŋтє. Comp. Antig. 29.
75. The sense is, For it is the fit time (to do so), which is for men the chief director of every undertaking, i. e. which ought to be chiefly consulted and to guide in all things. Comp. Philoctet. 837, where kaцós, opportunity, is said to have understanding of all things.
77. $i \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}{ }^{\prime} \mu \iota \iota$ being a standing formula, Dind., Wunder, and Schneidewin $\emptyset$ mit $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \tau \eta \nu o s$.
79. ínò in in íoorevoúans means in an under tone.
80. The Schol. notices that Orestes is led away by youthful curiosity, while the old man recalls him to what is useful and prudent.
81. The first person of the subjunctive often stands with-

 and others for кaì àvaкov́धбнеу, àvà denoting over again; but by Herm. for кaì èvakoívoutv.

84, 85. 入ovт ${ }^{\text {à }}=$ xoás, as in vv. 434, 1139. - крáтos $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \rho \omega \mu^{\prime} \hat{\varepsilon} \omega \nu$, superiority in what we are doing, the attainment of our designs. $\nu i k \eta$ and крáros take a genitive, as in
 stead of a simple dative. Comp. Antig. 57, 139.
87. $\gamma \hat{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{s}$ iбópotpos àjp, ather parem cum terra ambitum habens. Herm. $\gamma \hat{n} s$ is for $\gamma \hat{n}$ by a rare construction, which of ooos and loos sometimes' adopt. Comp. Thom. Mag. voce öpoos. The expression is perhaps borrowed from Choëph. 317, oкórẹ фáos ióónoıoov. It was ridiculed, according to the Schol., by the comic poet Pherecrates. For ajp, with a short $a$, if the text is right, see the metrical note.
89. à $\nu \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \rho \epsilon \iota$, opposite, alternating with, sc. Apívocs. So Suidas. Some join ả. $\sigma \tau \notin \rho \nu \omega \nu$ together, directed against, aimed at, the breast. This is favored by the fact that $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\eta}$ is rarely found with the genitive of the thing struck in the tragic poets, but usually with that of the weapon. Yet the emphatic position of àzúpes speaks strongly for the sense given by Suidas. - $\ddot{\eta} \dot{\sigma} \dot{\sigma}$ ov implies a present ai$\sigma \theta a \dot{v} \epsilon$. Hence the subjunctive $\dot{v} \pi o \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta}$ in the subordinate clause.
91. ขтплєє $\phi \theta \hat{\eta}$. Schol., $\pi a \rho \epsilon \in \lambda \theta$, Brunck, recessit. This meaning of the passive has no support from usage, as far as I have noticed. Is not the sense rather, whenever night is left behind, i. e. when I pass beyond it into day ?
92. тà $\pi a v \nu v \chi i \delta \omega \nu$. For the periphrastic use of the article and genitive see Mt. §285; Cr. §478.—— $\quad \ddot{\circ} \delta \eta$, even, is to be taken with this clause, and not with $\xi v v i \sigma a \sigma c$ according to Hermann. According to Wunder, the clause denotes time, and is joined with $\theta \rho \eta \nu \hat{\omega}$. It is better to take it as a limiting clause, thus: and as for my night-vigils even.

 ter reception to an enemy. So $\delta_{\text {é } \chi o \mu a \iota}$ is used of hostile reception, as by Herodot. vii. 138. Erfurdt observes, that the word was chosen as alluding to the fatal entertainment at Agamemnon's return.
100. oikтos тои́тшע. Comp. Eurip. Alcest. 426, for the objective genitive. — ${ }_{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{\eta s}$, and not $\tilde{a} \lambda \lambda o v$, as pointing at her sisters.

105-109. In the first clause, $\lambda \in \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \omega$ is to be supplicd from the next ensuing, where its place is more emphatic. - $\mu \eta$ où $\pi \rho \circ \phi \omega \nu \epsilon i \nu$ follows ov̉ $\lambda \eta$ ńğ. I will not cease . . .
 or design, according to Hermann, who translates $\dot{\eta}^{\boldsymbol{\chi}}{ }^{\omega} \dot{\epsilon}$. к., clamorem ad luc'um provocantem alios; but how could Electra, who despared (v. 100) of finding sympathy, have
thought of exciting the grief of others? Better, for the sake of wailing, i. e. that I may indulge my desire to wail.
111. 'Apó, the vengeance, which is denounced in curses, personified; Dira. The ancients sometimes spoke of 'Apal in the plural, and sometimes confounded them with the Erinyes. Comp. Æsch. Furies, 395 (417).——In v. 112 'Epıvíes is pronounced in three syllables by synizesis.
114. Several words have fallen out here, as the measure shows. It is not clear, therefore, whether тò̀s $\mathbf{i \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \pi т о \mu \epsilon$ poos is to be taken actively or passively. If the latter, it is
 v. 54), i. e. those who have adultery committed against them. As it was not the part of the Furies to punish adultery, Porson and others pronounce these words spurious. But comp. v. 492. If the Furies did not pursue the mere crime of adultery, they might yet show the more wrath against an adulterous murderer.
119, 120. Porson (ap. Monk) thus rendered this passage : For I am no longer able by myself to draw up the weight of
 ${ }_{i \sigma}{ }^{\mathcal{v} \omega} \omega$ : a rare word, borrowed perhaps from Æsch. Furies 36, and derived through $\sigma \hat{\kappa k o s}$, strong (lliad xx. 72), from $\sigma \omega \bar{s}$. - -ä̀ $\gamma \iota \nu$, to raise, weigh, or balance. Comp. Demosth.
 éxíarخ $\mu \nu \hat{a} \nu$, three or four golden vessels, each wcighing a mina. The sense of weighing flows from that of drawing (i. e. producing motion in a loaded scale), as is shown by ${ }_{\epsilon}^{2} \lambda \kappa \epsilon \nu$, which has them both. Comp. Herodot. 1. 51.

122-125. "Recte Triclinius constructionem explicat
 ऽová 'Ayańépoda." Herm. The sense then would be
 oi $\mu \omega \gamma \hat{n}$; why do you thus pine away with never-satisfied lamentations for Agamemnon? And $\tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon t s$ oi $\mu \omega \gamma \bar{\alpha} \nu$ would be a bold example of an accusative following a verb of kindred
sense, since the notion of pining away may include the grief which causes it, and the expressions of grief, or lamentation. Comp, the associated words $\kappa \lambda a i \omega$, $\tau^{\prime} \tau \eta \kappa \alpha, \kappa \dot{a} \pi \iota \kappa \omega-$ $\kappa \dot{v} \omega$, in v. 283. But the use of the active $\tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega$ in the sense of pining away is unsupported. Wunder explains it as meaning here to make to flow, to pour out; and defends т. oi $\mu \omega \gamma$ à $\nu$ by the analogy of $\tau \eta \dot{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \nu$ סákpva. But all this is without foundation; no such expression as tŋ́кє兀 dákpva can
 weeping and lamenting sometimes take an accusative of the object towards which or whom the feeling is directed (comp.
 and as verbals sometimes adopt their verbs' accusatives, (comp. Antig. 786, note,) the government of 'A. by ai $\mu \omega$ $\gamma \dot{d} \nu$ may be justified. Yet, if $\tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota s$ involve the idea of grief, it is better to take the personal accusative, as well as the other, immediately with the verb. Comp. Trachin. 50,
 over the departure of Hercules with tearful complaints.
126. ©s, utinam.
129. $\gamma \in \nu^{\prime} \theta \lambda \lambda$, Doric for $\gamma \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \theta \lambda \eta$.
 by an attraction of the preposition to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon L S$, which contains the idea of bringing out of the lower world. So He




140, 141. The sense is, Thou art destroying thyself by passing on in thy weeping from moderation to excessive grief. arєváxovar, owing to the influence of the prepositions $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta^{\prime}, \mathfrak{k} \pi i$, is taken in a pregnant sense. Comp. Alcest. 67.
147. äpapev, from ग̈papov, second aorist, which has a short in the penult, while the penult of the perfect äpapa is long.
 messenger of spring, sent by Jove.
150. For $\sigma \frac{\grave{c}}{} \delta^{\prime}$, after the vocative, see Prometh. 3. $\nu^{\prime} \neq \mu$ $=\nu o \mu i \xi_{\mathrm{c}}$. Comp. v. 598. She regards Niobe's state of ceaseless grief as divinely blessed.
 Antig. 823.
152. alâ̂. "Non gemit Niobæ sortem, sed de se ipsâ cogitans ita exclamat." Herm.

155, 156. The sense is, in regard to which (sc. áXos), you surpass those within (the house), with whom you are of common origin, and their sister by birth.—— $\pi \epsilon \rho t \sigma a \dot{\alpha}$ follows $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\text { in }}$ in taking a genitive $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ E゙vòov ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \tau \nu\right)$.

157-163. oia, of which description, i. e. one of whom. In the next lines Orestes is mentioned by a natural associa-
 by the extant Scholiast, Suidas, and others, for a participle. ö $\lambda \beta$ кos is put in pointed opposition to it, and may have peen pronounced after a pause. The next words show why
 is, and Orestes, who passes in grief his secluded youth, .lappy one because Mycene's famed land shall. one day receive him, - the scion of a noble house, —brought back to this soil by Jove's propitious guidance. kpuntă refers to the remote and secluded place of safety where he was brought up, away from those who wished his death. Hermann, taking $\dot{a} \chi^{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ as a noun, makes the sense of the clause in which it occurs, happy in his youth (hidden, i. e.) secluded from sorrows. But, as Wunder observes, the reason for mentioning these relatives of Electra is to dissuade her from excessive grief, by showing that they have equal reason for it. - $\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a$ here appears to be the verbal of the causative sense of $\beta$ aivo, which its first aorist has. -
 in the first clause as a nominative. See Antig. 404, and K. § 332.15 ; Cr. § 525.
164. àка́дата $=$ ăкана́тшя.
 rationis vim habet, says Ellendt. May it not better, like
 sage, vv. 303, 304.
 lorum. Herm.

169, 170. $\tau i \dot{a} \gamma \gamma_{\epsilon} \lambda_{i} a s=\tau i s a d \gamma \gamma_{\epsilon} \lambda i a$. Comp. Antig. 1229;
 ing to Herm., so that the sense is, what message goes to him from me without being frustrated of its purpose. But the active sense is better, for the words $\dot{a} \in i \pi 0 \theta_{\epsilon} \hat{i}$ contain the substance of the messages of Orestes to his sister, and ouk $\grave{\alpha} \xi \iota a \hat{\imath}$ фavŋ̀ $\nu a \iota$ explains the cause why $\dot{a} \pi a \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu a \nu$ is used. What message comes for me without (deceiving or) disap. pointing me.

 in a Florence MS., and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ renders the verse unlike the cor-
 oบ่ $\rho a \nu$ ติ.
 affording relief from pain or sorrow. e่ uaptia is used of relief from pain, Philoctet. 704.

180-184. The sense is, For neither is he, who inhabits Crissa's cattle-feeding shore,-the boy born of Agamemnon, not to return; nor is the god, who reigns by the Acheron, regardless. àmepitponos, meaning properly non redux, and therefore here implying his being regardless of vengeance, by zeugma, in the second clause, takes the place of a word with that meaning, e. g. averiot Schol., is taken in two senses. So Herm. and Ellendt. Others give it the sense mindless in both clauses. _ Bov-
 cle is used because the place was well known. Comp. ins Kapiv日ov, EEd. R. 936, spoken of for the first time, and ràs

AÁrjas, Ed. Col. 24. Here the fertile Crissean plain is intended, which lay under Parnassus around the gulf of the same name, and in which (v. 730) the Pythian games were neld. The poet includes in the plain of Crisa or Crissa the lower plain of Cirrha, which was probably in his days untilled and devoted to Apollo, a grazing-place for his sacred flocks. (Comp. Aschin. c. Ctes. § 107 Bekker.)
 vitalis potissima, Ellendt; Bioros being, not time of life, but force or vigor of life.


 kos, a settler who is not a native, a stranger.

 orauat, the common reading, arose perhaps from the wrongly written áфigrauat, which is found in a Florence MS. Schneidewin inserts into his text dфiorтapal with kavãs трame§as, which it would require.
193-197. The sense seems to be, Sad was the cry uttered at his return; sad was it when in thy father's bedchamber the stroke of brazen hatchets was aimed against him. By this, Hermann understands the laments of the people presaging Agamemnon's death; upon his return, and soon afterwards bewailing it. But the cry of the murdered prince is probably intended. Two events are not spoken of, but by a climax (oikrpà $\delta_{\xi}^{\prime}$ ) the poet rises to the height of the horror, - to the murder of Agamemnon at the banquet. - pácous is the time, and the preposition ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \mathrm{v}$ need not be supplied. -oi is Hermann's emendation for oor, and seems necessary for the sense. "Hiatum accepit poeta ab epicis," says Herm., who cites a parallel case from
 chylus, was slain at the bath, where his wife, who was
attending upon him, entangled him in a tunie sewed together at the top. Comp. Æseh. Agam. 1078, 1097, 1518 (ed. Blomf.). Euripides says the same, Electr. 155. "I weep for thee, my unhappy father," $\lambda$ out $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi a \nu \dot{v} \sigma \tau a \theta^{\prime}$ i $\dot{\delta} \rho \alpha$ -
 translates by thalamo, the chamber where he was bathing before the feast, but Wunder regards it as denoting the sofa on which, after the custom of his own time, the poet represents Agamemnon as lying during the feast, where, according to Homer, Odyssey xi. 419, he met with his death. ——revicu. Comp. Antig. 249.

198-200. The sense is, It was Fraud that planned, it was Lust that slew; horribly engendering a horrible Form. The poet gives life to means, motive, and result. The horrible form horribly engendered in this union of fraud and lust was the murder of a husband by the plot of adulterers. - фо́́ras takes the sense of the middle. -_ $\pi \rho o-$ $\phi$ итevंबavtes is not anterior to the action of the verb, but
 The mind, full of the more than mortal horror of the crime, doubts whether some wrathful avenging deity were not the.
 трácoळv, the doer of these deeds. The participle lays aside reference to time.

201, 202. The sense would be complete without $\pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$, or with $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \chi \theta \rho a ̀$ merely, instead of $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \chi \theta_{i \sigma \tau \alpha}$; but the union of the two constructions gives force to Electra's expression of her feeling. $\delta \eta$ also adds emphasis to the superlative, as it often does elsewhere. Mt. $\$ 603$.

205,206 . roùs, referring to $\delta \in i \pi \nu a$, is attraeted or assimilated in gender to Auvárovs, which is in apposition. The feast was her father's death. - $\delta \iota \delta \dot{v} \mu a \iota \nu \chi є \rho o i v$, the twin
 209. ois, sc. the murderers implied in $\chi \in \iota \rho \frac{i v}{\nu}$.
213. Take $\phi \rho a ́ \zeta o v ~ b y ~ i t s e l f: ~ \phi \omega \nu \varepsilon i ̀ ~ i s ~ f o r ~ \phi \dot{\nu} \nu \varepsilon$.

 $=\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu, \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$. Schneidewin (who also puts an interroga-
 $o i=$, the phrase thus being the same as oï $\omega \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$. Comp. K. largest Gr. §788. 5. The sense then is a good one. By such conduct as the present, you run into mischief of your own causing. - oikeias =idias.
218. Sc. because by despondency and grief she irritated her mother and Жgisthus, as v. 290 shows.

219, 220. A passage of difficult construction. One
 єis тav̂тa тробпє $\lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$; and Brunck, after another, still more loosely, atqui cum potentioribus jurgio contendere haud conducibile est. The construction must be $\tau \grave{a} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ (i. e. $\tau a \hat{v}-$

 made matters of contention with the powerful so as to approach them, i. e. so as to come to their very face in the contention.
223. ${ }^{\prime} \nu \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ decyoís seems to be an instance of the causal proposition introduced by ráp, coalescing with the proposition, the cause of which is assigned. Fully expressed, it
 So dreadful are the evils of my state, that I will make no attempt to ward off the calamities arising from indulging $m y$ grief. тaúras ätas refers to v. 215 seq.
226. "Scholiastæ et Suidas ríy pro $\pi \rho \rho{ }_{2}$ tivos accipiunt: quos sequitur Brunckius: recte. Hoc dicit, a quo enim opportuna afferente utile quid audiam, i. e. quis ita opportuna sentit, et utile quid ad me consolandum proferat." Herm. For the construction of the dative tive, comp. Mt. §394. 3, and see v. 442.
 Trachin. 247, and for the genitive, the phrase in v. 36.
 rivos. This verb is even found with an accusative.

240-244. The sense is, Nor, if I am possessed of any good thing, may $I$ enjoy it in quiet, if I restrain the wings of loud lamentations, so that they honor not my parent.




 i. e. will stop me from, or keep me without, weeping, etc.

244-250. For $\epsilon i$ with the fut. indic., and an optative in the apodosis, instead of another fut. indic., see Mt. § 524. 2. 1.—— $\gamma^{\hat{a}} \tau \epsilon$, etc., i. e. held in no more honor than other dust, and regarded as of no account. - oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$,
 aióss, apparently here the dread of doing wrong, and द̇vás$\beta_{e c a}$, piety, reverence both towards parents and the gods. Comp. vv. 308, 968 (where reverence for a deceased brother is included), and 1097; and see v. 589, note.
251. тò бòv oтєúdovaa, tuis rebus studens. The same phrase occurs in Plat. Gorg. 455. C. For $\sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{\delta} \varepsilon \epsilon \boldsymbol{t}$ with an
 Cr. §503; Ajax 1015, тà бà крátๆ Өavóvтos. The phrase means, anxious to show my friendship, and the previous clause, anxious to keep you from embittering your enemies by too loud grief.
255. The sense is, if on account of my frequent lamentations I appear to you to be too much oppressed with sorrow, or afficted.
259. The relative refers not to $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \boldsymbol{\delta}$, the immediate antecedent, but to $\pi a \tau \rho \underset{\oplus}{a} \pi \dot{\eta} \eta \mu a \tau a$, the chief subject of thought. $\pi a \tau \rho \varphi ̄ a$ may denote derived or inherited from a father, as we speak of a man's paternal estaie. Comp. ©Ed. Col.
 ${ }^{\bullet}{ }^{\prime} \pi a \theta \in s$.
 struction with the verb is the same as in Trachin. 1174, тaû̃' av̉v ėสeid̀̀ $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \grave{\alpha} \sigma \nu \mu \beta a i v \epsilon$, and probably rises from an ellipsis of öva, the participle being sometimes joined with this verb, instead of the infinitive.
265. Wunder remarks that $\tau \eta \tau \bar{a} \sigma \theta a t=$ carere, and not privari. This explains why the present and not the aorist is used. So infra, v. 1326.
269. rapeatiaus, like many adjectives, may be resolved into a preposition and its primitive noun, $=\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \mathfrak{p}$ écriá. Comp. Mt. § 446. 8, and v. 419.
271. tedєutaiav, extreme. I have not found this meaning of the word elsewhere in the tragic poets. - - ${ }^{j} \mu \nu \nu$, as Ellendt writes it, or $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$, with $~$ short, occurs twenty-six times in Soph., but neither Esch. nor Eurip. has an inclined form of $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$ or of $\bar{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$.

275-277. т $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$. Schol. то入 $\mu \eta \rho a ́, ~ a ̀ v a ı \grave{\eta} s$. But see v. 439, note. - тaîs поoové́vous. Comp. v. 40 , note.
278. єipoùra, having reached. "Gum ad diem illum rursus pervenit." Ellendt. ——áre, olim. Brunck. But the word means nothing more than then, i. e. at that wellknown time. By that day the poet intends that day of each month.
280. $\mu \eta \lambda$ дo $\phi a \gamma \epsilon \mathrm{\epsilon} \nu$, though a neuter, adopts the construction of $\sigma \phi$ átret , its primitive. Or if $\kappa$ à may be an instance of the apposition which we have in Alcest. 7.
 калєïau $\dot{\epsilon \pi i}$ тuvos, are used, the preposition answering in these cases to our after. Comp. Mt. § 375. 3.
285. aúrì stands for è $\mu a v \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$. K. § 302.8 ; Cr. § 506.
 And so Brunck." It is better, with Erfurdt, to explain the phrase ironically, as referring to the low, abusive language of Clytemnestra, a specimen of which follows.
289. $\delta \dot{\delta} \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma$. Suid. $\dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta$ és. So Eschylus uses the
word in several places. Here in Clytemnestra's mouth it denotes impiety shown by failure in filial duty towards herself. Brunck renders it diis invisum.
292. oi кázo $\theta \in o i . \quad$ Comp. vv. 110, 184.
300. I follow Dindorf and others in reading raùzù for raùra, according to Blomfield's conjecture. The sense seems to be, And her renowned husband at the same time, being near by, (urges the same things with her, i. e.) urges the same invectives which she had used. For aiv adverbial, comp. Antig. 85. Below, v. 746, it is perhaps separated from the verb by tmesis. For aivŋp depending on raĩá, see K. § 284.4 ; Cr. § 400 .

301, 302. The sense is, This utter coward, this mere evil, that fights his battles with woman's help. —— $\bar{\eta}$ đâou $\beta \lambda i \beta \beta$ occurs in the same sense in Philoct. 622, cited by Brunck. The phrase is like many in Greek, and like our expression
 Comp. the same words, Thucyd. 4. 92.
 lier Attic writers used this second perfect in a transitive sense in preference to dré $\phi \theta a \rho k a$ (which, however, was also in use), while in Homer, in the Ionic and latest Attic writers, the intransitive sense was current. -- Tàs oüras
 were about to arise." Schol. "Spem quam in se ipsa et in illo absente repositam habet." Herm. Ellendt explains the phrase as a formula including all possible hopes, although neither oüras nor àmov́as may have any definite meaning. But if this had been the case, could the two kinds of hopes have been so separated from one another as they are here by two articles? The Schol. seems to have hit the meaning nearest. Hopes near at hand (for oû̃ous= mapov́ras, comp. Antig. 1109) are such as she at any time cherished; hopes at a distance are such as might after a time be awakened in her breast.

308, 309. For the play upon kakòs comp. Alcest. 705. ——Hermann and other editors have $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\prime}$ for $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ' $\sigma \tau$. 313. өupaîo oixveiv, to go abroad. So Trachin. 533,
 For $\tau v \gamma \chi^{\alpha} \nu \epsilon$, sc. ${ }^{\omega} \nu$, see v. 46.

317-320. тov̀ kaбcyvírov. For the genitive after verbs of saying, see K. § $273 . \mathrm{F}$; Cr. § 356 ; and comp. Ajax 1236. - $\eta_{\xi} \xi^{2}$ vos, $\hat{\eta}^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \lambda \lambda$ avoros. The participle in such cases usually is accompanied by $\dot{\omega}_{s}$, and may be expressed by an


323. "Ṅon possunt hæc verba exprimere, quod volunt interpretes, alioqui non tam diu vixissem; sed hoc dicit Electra, non enim diu viverem, nempe si ille non veniret." Herm.
324. $\delta a ́ \mu \omega \nu$. It is comparatively rare that the genitive stands without a preposition in answer to the question whence. Comp. Antig. 10.
325. \$v́ru, by birth. This word is nearly superfluous, as in v. 1125.——For v. 328, comp. vv. 122-125. .
331. The sense is, not idly to indulge your rash anger. Ellendt interprets $\theta_{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \mu a t a i \varphi$ móre generally perversitati tuc.
 I know that I myself also grieve. For this form of sentences, comp. Antig. 318; Cr. § 614.
 pass. often has a middle sense. - The sense of the next line is, and not to seem to be engaged in something, and yet to bring no harm (upon the murderers, i. e.) not to show that I meditate vengeance which will be ineffectual.
340. The sentiment is like that in Antig. 63. - For a plural in lieu of a singular verbal, comp. Antig. 677. $\pi a v a d$ is probably an accusative.
342. The Schol. and Hermann take $\mu^{\prime} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{\lambda}} \mathrm{c} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ as a personal
verb here with $\sigma \epsilon$ for its subject $=\phi \rho a \nu \tau i \zeta \epsilon \nu$; a rare usage found, according to Hermann, Esch. Agam. 378, Eurip. Herc. Fur. 772. —— For tîs тıктav́б $\eta$ s, and the reason why rìs тeкav́rŋs is not used, see Prometh. 849, note (2d ed.).
343. тàmà vov $\theta \epsilon \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \mu a \tau a$, your admonitions addressed to me. This is the objective use of the possessive pronoun. ——For the government of $\kappa \kappa i \nu \eta s$, see Cr. § 381.
346. $\phi \rho o \nu \sigma \hat{v} \sigma a=\phi$. єن̉, the opposite of $\phi$. какढ̈s. Choose, says Electra, either to act a foolish part like me, or to be wise (in a prudential point of view) and neglect your father.
349. тıншрєiб大aí тıv, to avenge one's cause; тıva, to revenge upon, or punish, one. т $\quad \mu \omega \rho a \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$, trying to punish.
351. $\pi$ рòs какоîбь, besides the calamities now existing.

356. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \in i$ is here used of the world below, as in Alcest. 744. This may be easily gathered from the context, but is owing to euphemism. - $\chi$ ápıs is here the pleasure arising from. receiving an honor, gratification, and stands instead of $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$ repeated. Comp. Antig. 514.
357. $\dot{\eta} \mu i v$. This dative is not without its force. The sense is, Thou who hatest for us, i. e. our hater, or you who pretend that you hate.
363. The sense is, Let me have it for my only food, that I do not grieve myself, i. e. that I escape the pain which base submission to them would occasion me, and rather cause them trouble. A similar expression occurs in Isoc-

 Eurip. Cyclops, 338. Brunck changed $\mu \eta$ into $\nu \iota \nu$ (uv̉rov́s). But in that case it is not easy to see why $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}$, and not $\mu \epsilon$, is used. In the received text, $\dot{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{E}$ stands for $\dot{\epsilon} \mu a v r \eta \nu$, "quia
 $\lambda v \pi \epsilon i \nu, \lambda v \pi \epsilon i \nu$ ס̀̀̀ roútovs." And this sense Musgrave had
previously expressed in his note. Comp. 461, where ooi, in an enumeration of several persons, stands for $\sigma a v \tau \hat{\varphi}$. The same is true in a contrast, Eurip. Phœniss. 508: тov̀ ${ }^{\text {' }}$
 ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{j}^{\prime}$. In such cases the mind rests simply on the person as joined or contrasted with others, and not on the reflexive act.

369-378. $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \rho \frac{̀}{s}$ ỏ $\rho \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$, say nothing angrily (literally, that has a leaning to, or looks towards anger). Comp.
 For the gen. $\mu \dot{v} \theta^{\prime} \omega \nu$, comp. Cr. § 376 . - $\tau \omega \nu \delta \bar{\epsilon}$, the ills I now endure. She means, that nothing can be worse.
380. According to Mt. $\oint 608.5, \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$ is here used, and not ovँmote, because the clause is subjective, i. e. what is said is dependent upon, and exists in the view of Clytemnestra and $\nVdash$ gisthus.
 note.
385. In reply to Elmsley, who would read $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ for $\delta \dot{\eta}$ after Triclinius, Herm. says, "Optime convenit illud ón, ergo, animo indignanti decretumque contemnenti." - The sense is, What! have they then even resolved to do these things to me? $\beta_{\epsilon} \beta_{o v i \lambda \epsilon v \nu r a l ~ h a s ~ a ~ m i d d l e ~ s e n s e . ~}^{\text {a }}$
 Comp. Antig. 427.

396-403. єiкк $\theta$ єî̀. See Antig. 1096, note (2d ed.). —— $\quad \grave{v} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} \pi \epsilon v^{\prime}$, do you act such a cringing part if you please. For the accus. raûza, comp. Antig. 550, 743. ov̉к é $\mu$ ov̀s тоótovs $\lambda$ '́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota$, you do not speak of my character, i. e. what you speak of is not my character; unless $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \epsilon t s$ can have the sense, you do not speak, i. e. display in what you say.—— $\tau \mu \omega \rho o \dot{u} \mu \varepsilon \nu a u$. For the gender, sce Alcest. 383. - $\mu \grave{\eta}$. . . кєעض́, $I$ hope $I$ am not yet so far devoid of sense. "Electra says $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$ in the feeling of human weakness, as if in the course of time she could not be sure
of not acting as hex sister suggested．＂Schneidewin． Rather she speaks in scorn，which is her present state of mind．



405，406．Brunck observes that the victims，whose blood was poured out as a libation upon the tomb，were there burnt．He conceives of Chrysothemis as being attended by servants carrying victims．But it is plain from vv．405， 431，that the offerings were in her own hand．She wens alone to offer a libation to her father，and ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \pi v \rho a$ must $b_{6}$ understood，as Triclinius and several modern critics re－ mark，of unbloody offerings．Comp．v．440．Erfurdt remarks that the offering of Orestes，v．894，was of the
 Antig．901．This verb in Ajax 1063，Eurip．Hel．1245， means，to put in a tomb；here，to put on a tomb．For its neuter force，to be in a tomb，see Antig． 888.
 abest $\gamma^{\prime}$ ．，Non enim ipsa his verbis respondet Chrysothe－ mis，sed quid Electra in mente habeat dicit．＂Herm．The words in Electra＇s mouth would have been without $\gamma^{\prime}$ ；or at least Chrysothemis would not have given them the em－ phasis contained in that word．
 with such restricting infinitives（comp．Alcest．801），are sometimes omitted．

411．ảג入à is used in opposition to something not ex－ pressed．If never before，be with us yet at least now． Comp．$\lambda \in ́ \gamma \gamma^{\prime}$ à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ тои̂тo，v．415，if you know but little，yet tell this ；ả入入à $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ र $\chi$ рóvఱ̨，v．1013，if never before，yet at length．
 This dreum resembles that of Astyages in Herodot．1． 108.
——For this sceptre comp．Iliad ii． 101.
425．This seems to have been a custom，the object of
which was to obtain the aid of the Sun or of the Gods, in averting evil portended by dreams. Comp. v. 644. In Eurip. Iph. in Taur. 42, eited by Brunek, Iphigenia says, The novel visions which this night has brought, I will tell


428-430. $\nu \nu \nu$, therefore. The connection seems to be, "I beseech you, therefore, do nothing rashly, for our mother, in her alarm caused by the vision, will use violence against you to prevent you from injuring her." -_ ci $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ . . . $\pi$ á $\lambda \iota \nu$, For if you will reject me (my advice), at another time you will come after me, in the midst of your trouble.
433. iбтávaь ктєрípaata, to place (there) mortuary of. ferings.
435. By a fine zeugma кpú $\psi o \nu$, in the clause with кóve, supplies the place of a verb of appropriate signification with
 Antig. 197. $-\nu \nu \nu=a \dot{\tau} \dot{c}$, as in Prometh. 55, and v. 624 infra.

439-441. «́ $\rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$, omnino. It is taken with oủk à...
 obviously denotes a moral quality here and in v. 275, as in Philoct. 363, the sense of bold, ready to undertake any crime. But the analogy of $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \pi \eta \nu o s$, when denoting crime, as in vv. 121, 806, rather favors the signification miscrable or wretched (in a moral point of view).———é $\pi \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \phi \epsilon \chi^{\prime} \dot{a} s$
 that "some verbs take as well the dative of the person and the accusative of the thing, as the accusative of the person and the dative of the thing." The reason for the dative of a person here is, that, besides the general idea of erowning, or honoring, the poet had in his mind the special one of pouring upon; so that the verb adopts the construction required by $\epsilon \pi \iota \chi \in \hat{\iota} \nu$.
442. au่ $\bar{\eta}$ is not to be taken with $\sigma o$, , or with $\pi p o \sigma \phi i \lambda \omega \hat{s}$, which Monk prefers, but with $\mathcal{\delta}^{\prime} \xi \xi_{\xi \sigma \sigma} \theta a \iota$, a construction found
in Homer and Pindar. Comp. v. 226, and Mt. there cited. According to Herm. dé $\chi \in \sigma \theta a i$ tuvos or mapá ruvos means to receive from, $\delta^{\prime} \chi \in \sigma \theta a i$ of favor to. The aorist infinitive here has a future sense, and this takes place not only after verbs which in themselves imply futurity, but also after others.

445-447. $\mu a \sigma \chi a \lambda i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ denotes to hang under the shoulders or arm-pits, thence to cut off the extremities of a slain man, and hang them thus under his shoulders. According to Suidas, the extremities were joined together, and placed round the neck under the shoulders of the slain (thus forming a resemblance to the shoulder-band of beasts under the yoke, $\left.\mu a \sigma \chi^{a \lambda}{ }^{\circ} \sigma \tau i \rho\right)$, as an expiatory rite, or that the murdered person might be powerless to take satisfaction. Another statement in Suidas is, that the murderers wore it upon themsclves. Suidas, and others after him, as Blomfield on Choëph. 433, refer to Apol. Rhod. 4. 477, who says that Jason, after killing Absyrtus, "cut off the extremities of the deceased. Thrice he licked off some of the blood, and thrice he spit the abomination out from his teeth, which is the right way for murderers to atone for bloodshed." The learned Schol. on this place, and other writers, tell the same tale. Musgrave thinks that these horrid ceremonies were performed, not for the sake of expiation, but out of contumely towards an enemy. But the place from Apollonius shows the contrary. Wilkinson, in his Dalmatia, Vol. II. p. 154, says that "a Morlacco believes that, if he murders any one, he will be haunted by his shade, unless he carries about him a piece of the murdered man's dress. The part preferred for this purpose is taken from the sash; and having once put it on his breast, beneath his clothes, he never goes without it." - каi . . кápạ к $\eta \lambda i \bar{\partial} \alpha a s$ ${ }^{i} \xi \xi \mu a \xi \in \nu$, and wiped off the blood-spots (from the instrument) with his head. $\begin{gathered}\xi \\ \xi\end{gathered} \dot{\xi} \mu \xi \in \nu$ is supposed to change its subject; but this is not necessary, since Agamemnon may be said
to have performed this deed, by means of his head used for that purpose. So one Schol. and Ellendt seem to understand the place. Wunder regards кápa as a local dative, on his head. This also was a murderer's ceremony, the symbolical purpose of which was, apparently, to transfer the guilt of the murder, to put the blood of the slain man upon his own head. In the Odys. xix. 92, we have €̈pyov ô ong $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta}$ àva $\mu a ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon s, a$ deed which thou shalt wipe off upon thy head, i. e. shalt bear the guilt of. In Herodot. 1. 155, Cresus says, the things which took place before, I

 Brunck, after a gloss, makes to mean for an expiation : others, at the bath, near which Agamemnon was slain.
446. $\mathrm{a} \rho a \mu \dot{\eta}$. Comp. Antig. 632. Both an inferring and an interrogative power have been ascribed to this formula, as Schaefer has explained it in his "Meletem Crit.," p. 66, who there paraphrases the present passage, hac cum ita sint num putas. But Herm., on Antig. loc. cit., justly denies that äpa has this power. " ${ }^{\text {A } A \rho a} \mu \eta$ '," says he, "idem propemodum est quod $\mu \grave{\eta}$ solum, nisi quod ${ }^{3} \rho a \mu \dot{\eta}$ paullo fortius." And Buttmann (index to Plato's Four Dialogues, 4th ed., Berlin, 1822) says, under â $\rho a$, "â $\rho a \mu \grave{\eta}$ sicut $\mu \grave{\eta}$ solum, interrogat in re incredibili, ita tamen ut $\hat{a} p a$ addat fere aliquam sollicitudinem, sive veram sive fictam, ne forte ab altero affirmetur." This agrees with the probable origin of the formula from an ellipsis of $\delta \in \iota \partial \partial \nu$ or a kindred word. Comp. Mt. §608, Obs. 3. âpa $\mu \dot{\eta}$ dokeîs then means, Is there danger that you think? you do not think, do you? The sense is the same as if the words had been סoekis
 from the guilt of the murder. For the genitive comp. vv. 636, 1489, where the same adjective is found. - Two lines below, $\sigma \dot{v} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ is used in emphatic contrast, because now she was doing not her own will, but her mother's bidding.
449. "Bene monet Brunckius ad Orest. 128, in veteri luctu aliquot tantum cincinnos abscissos esse; in recenti omnem cæsariem." Herm. - äkpas фáßas. Schol. 九à äк $\rho a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$.
451. Brunck after the Schol. gives $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho \eta$ r $\tau \boldsymbol{i} \chi a$, suppliant hair, i. e. hair offered by a suppliant, or which, as asymbol, made supplication in Electra's stead. Comp. v. 1378, $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \chi \epsilon \rho i$. The reading of the MSS., ${ }^{2} \lambda \iota \pi a \rho \eta$, was restored to the text by Hermann ; according to whom it signifies, unsuited to supplication, i. e. uncombed, squalid. In this edition I give $\lambda_{\iota \pi a \rho \eta}^{\eta}$.
452. $\zeta_{\bar{\omega} \mu a}$ is here used for $\zeta \omega \nu \eta \nu$, according to the Schol., Suid., Thom. Mag., and others. In Homer it means, a garment trussed up. Æsch. and Menander (Pollux 7. 51) used the word to denote a garment, probably in Homer's acceptation of it.
454. This his $\epsilon\rangle\langle\omega \lambda a y$ could do, by appearing to the murderers in visions, to affright or deceive them, and to the avengers; to supply them with courage.

458. $\hat{\eta}$ тaviv $\delta \omega \rho o i \mu \epsilon \theta a$. The verb here used is, by a constructio ad sensum, accommodated to סopacs, implied in the hands which brought the gifts.


 fernal gods, was concerned in sending the visions.
461. For $\sigma \circ$ i, comp. v. 363, note.
 with piety.
466. The sense is, For justice has (in it) no reason for contending with two (opposing the opinion of the Chorus and Electra), but for being forward to act. This phrase, according to ordinary usage, should have $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \zeta_{\xi \in \iota}$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t-$ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \iota$ as its subjects ; comp. Antig. 68, where $т \grave{o} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma a \grave{a}$
 standard of judging as to what is reasonable is here the subject.
468. Chrysothemis here finely shows her more timid nature.
471. $\pi \iota \kappa \rho$ à̀ . . ётt, I think that this attempt upon which $I$ am about to venture will be a calamitous one. For the form of the sentence, see Alcest. 256.
472. Comp. the first lines of the ode in Ed. R. 1086, 1087.
475. $\pi \rho o ́ \mu a \nu \tau t s$, so called, as announcing beforehand, by Clytemnestra's vision, the approach of vengeance.

480, 481. к $\lambda^{\prime} \sigma v \sigma a \nu$ is instead of $\kappa \lambda \nu o v \sigma_{\eta}$, by a change of construction. According to Brunck, the poet had in his
 used for the dative, according to the common idiom of the tragic poets. Comp. Elmsley on Medea 727, Prometh. 217, and v. 962 , infra. Brunck cites $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \lambda \tau a \iota \delta^{\circ}$ av̉ $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \mu o i ̀ ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o \nu ~$
 $\pi \nu o ́ \omega \nu$, "quæ audientes Agamemnonis amicos, quasi suavi quadam aura afflant." Herm. є่̀фрàтळ̄ע, cheering, Triclinius.
484. $\chi^{a \lambda к о ́ \pi \lambda а к т о s, ~ D o r . ~ f o r ~}-\pi \lambda \eta \kappa т o s$, hammered or beaten out of brass; but Erf. and Herm. give it in an active sense, are percutiens. -The Chorus says, that neither the dead man nor the instrument of death has forgotten the murder.

489-491. поди́тоиs and поди́ $\chi \epsilon \rho$ strongly denote the fearful swiftness and grasp of the Fury, who is to be regarded as the executioner of Dike's sentence. Comp. v. 476. -
 фоעє́ $\omega \nu$, firm and unwearied in pursuing after the murderers.

492-494. Wunder and others give to $\alpha \mu \mu \lambda \lambda \eta \mu a \tau a$ the sense of contentio, struggle after, desire for ; and refer aioc to Clytemnestra and her paramour. The sense then is, desire for murderous wedlock attacked those whom it was
not lawful that it should attack. Triclinius refers oioty to Agamemnon, and takes $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \beta a$ as meaning hostile attack. This and the sense conjugales amplexus given to $\alpha \mu \iota \lambda$. $\gamma{ }^{\mu} \mu \omega \nu$ are perhaps to be preferred to the rendering now most in favor. The sense is, For the unhallowed incestuous embraces of murderous marriage attacked (and slew) whom it was not lawful. These words assign the reason for the vengeance of the Fury, - adultery ending in murder. - $\mu \iota a \iota \phi \dot{v} \omega \omega \nu$ is proleptic on either rendering. -
 no marriage, i. e. an unfortunate or unlawful one. aiocv ov่ $\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \iota s$. Emphatic: it was most unlawful to slay one they had so deeply wronged.
 is the ensuing clause. The sense is, This, or the thought, possesses me that the sign will never draw nigh to us unblamed by those who were doing and aiding in the deed, i. e. by the guilty pair; for, as the Schol. says, when they should suffer for their crimes they would blame the vision, as having foreboded ill instead of good. With like brevity Shakespeare says (Henry VIII.), "My mind gave me, ye blew the fire that burns you," i. e. gave me this that. But to make this sense, $\mathfrak{a} \psi \in \gamma$ ès must be separated from $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ and joined to $\delta \rho \omega \bar{\sigma}$, which is harsh. The reading is perhaps at fault.
 tive verb. - $\pi \epsilon \lambda \hat{a} \nu$, i. e. $\pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. The sign is thought of as at a distance, and as coming nigh, when fulfilled.
503. кaтaб $\chi^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$, lit. will come to land, i. e. come to pass.
506. aiav $\eta$ is the only example in the classics of a form aiavós, and one excellent MS. here has aiavís, which some critics prefer. alopòs is, however, found in Hesychius, who has alauóv, $\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi o ́ v, ~ a i \nu o ́ v . ~ S e e ~ H e r m ~ o n ~ A j a x ~} 65 \%$.
512. $\pi \rho \circ \dot{\rho} \rho \dot{\rho} \iota \zeta$ os, from the root, root and all. With Ėкpt$\phi \theta \in i s$, here, it denotes utter destruction : thrown utterly out, or thrown out, so as utterly to perish.

513-515. The Scholiast's explanation, à $\boldsymbol{q}^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\delta$ Múprikos
 to the true reading, oi̋kovs mòváápovas, favored by Bothe and Schneidewin, which I have introduced into this edition instead of oikov mod úrovos. The sense is, When Myrtilus slept in death-never yet since then has woe and shame forsaken these wealthy abodes. There is an allusion in aikia to the aikiaus of $\mathrm{vv} .487,511$, and perhaps in modund $\mu o v a s$ to $\pi a \gamma \chi \rho \dot{v} \sigma \omega \nu$ of $\mathbf{v}$. 510 , denoting that the ignominy perpetrated on the wealthy Myrtilus was paid by the ignominy of the wealthy Pelopidæ.
516. àvєцย́vŋ, left at large, i. e. being freed from the control of Ægisthus.
 $\tau 0<\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, i. e. whatever else he could not prevent, he certainly kept you at least from disgracing those you ought to love by being out of doors.
522. Brunck, Erfurdt, Schaefer, Mt. (§551), take äpx in the sense I begin, with kävßpi!covac. Monk and Herm. put a comma between a $p \chi \omega, I$ rule, and the participle. The latter construction is to be preferred, as making the inconsistency between what Electra had said, and her want of fear and respect for Clytemnestra, more apparent. Opareia, sc. єipi, according to Herm., but the adjective may be taken as instead of an adverb, parallel to $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \quad \delta i k \eta s$.
525. Brunck supplies from the foregoing $\kappa \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega \pi \rho \rho \partial{ }^{\circ} \sigma \in$ -
 construction makes $\pi a \pi \grave{\eta} \rho$ the subject of $\begin{gathered}\text { ढ̈tı } \\ \text { by attraction, }\end{gathered}$
 oudè by asyndeton, owing to the earnestness of the discourse.

533. A simple construction here would be oủk trov גúnns
 the poet, having used $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{ol}$ with loov, afterwards completes
the sense by adding $\ddagger \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta}$ тiктov $\sigma^{*} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$. And he does not say ö' $\tau^{\prime}$ ётıкто⿱, because, as Schneidewin remarks, that would restrict her $\lambda \dot{u} \pi \eta$ to the act of bearing a child; while the participle (denoting the mother, comp. v. 342) extends it to her subsequent maternal cares.
534. тov̂, גápıv rivos; " pro qua re? cujus gratia? toũ
 $\mu \nu a ̂ s ~ \pi a \sigma i a . "$. Herm. A few authorities have tivav for tivos, which, if taken as a participle, gives a good sense, rependens gratiam. For the repetition of the interrogative, comp.
 more likely to arise from rivos than the contrary, I have preferred to retain the latter in the text.
537. àv' $\dot{\alpha} \delta € \lambda \phi 0 \hat{v}$ briefly denotes instead of his brother's killing his own children.
539. "Some criticize the poet," says the Schol., "for differing from Homer, who makes Hermione the only child of Menelaus and Helen; but herein he agrees with Hesiod, who adds a son, Nicostratus."
541. ī̀s, i. e. тaтрòs où кaì $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta} s$. The poet might have used $\check{\Omega} \nu$, but his mind dwelt on Helen; this being especially applicable to her.
543. dairao $\theta a \iota$ is epexegetical. Had Hades any desire for my children more than for his, to feed upon them?
 $\lambda \epsilon \omega \pi a i \hat{i} \omega \nu$; ——For the accent of $\pi a i \delta \omega \nu$, see K. § 65. 2.
550. The sense is, But if I seem to you to judge wrong, when you have formed a correct judgment yourself, then set about blaming others, i. e. me. So the Schol. recent. But Wunder, Ellendt, and some other critics, with the Schol. vet., join $\sigma$ रoū $\sigma$ with $\delta o \kappa \hat{\omega}$. The sense then is, If I seem to you to judge wrong when I have judged right, then lay the blame on others, i. e. on Agamemnon. But there seems to be something absurd in this. фpoveĩ kaкês must refer to her thinking herself justified in slaying her husband, and
in not regretting the deed. How then, if Electra thought her self-justification wrong, could she lay the blame either of the act or the opinion upon Agamemnon? Moreover, if I am not in an error, oi $\pi$ té $\lambda a s$ cannot denote simply those who are near of kin, but only those with whom a near intercourse subsists.
 especially in the poets, verbs which of themselves cannot govern an accusative take that case on account of the active sense which lies in them," i. e. through their connection
 active idea of addressing first. Comp. Eurip. Androm.
 will begin to lament my master.
564. тà $\pi \rho \lambda \lambda a ̀$ тvєv $\mu a \tau a$, " multos illos ventos, qui flabant, vel qui flare solent. Hoc postremum cum natura Euripi [i. e. the strait between Eubœa and the mainland in which Aulis lay], qui locus, si quis alius, ventosus est." Herm. Comp. Eurip. Iph. in Taur. 6.

566, 567. к $\lambda v \dot{v} \omega$, see Prometh. 683, note (2d ed.) -_ maiGov Musgrave explains of the sport of running, with which modoin agrees. He was hunting, when he killed the animal, according to the Schol. on Eurip. Orest. 647 (651), which Sophocles cannot have intended, the place being a sacred grove.

568, 569. кãà $\sigma \phi$ ayás, in cede, Brunck. According to Mt. §581, кãà here means on account of. Herm. writes karaгфауàs in one word, following éкконтáбas, which, like ко $\mu \pi \dot{\jmath} \zeta \omega$, may take an accusative or a dative with émi. " $\not \pi \sigma о \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \iota$, dicit, quia veretur repetere dictum illud, ut im-
 Alcest. 680.
581. Schaefer (Meletemata, p. 115) lays down the rule, that ópa $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with the subjunctive $=$ cave ne, vereor ne (see an instance v. 1003 infra), and with the indicative $=$ vide
num, which Wunder more correctly alters into vide an non. This latter sense is needed here, and as most MSS. give ri $\theta \eta$ g, I have followed Wunder in admitting the indicative, but in the form $\tau i \theta \epsilon t s$, into this edition, instead of $\tau \in \theta \eta \bar{\eta} s$, which Hermann defends. Comp. Antig. 1253, note.
 rá $\mu$ ov • and so Brunck, legitimos. But the ordinary sense may be retained here, and there is a contrast with $\pi a-$
 ${ }_{e}^{e} \kappa \beta a \lambda a \hat{v} \sigma^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \epsilon \mathrm{s}$, hast cast off, or treated with entire neglect. Comp. Ed. R. 611, фí入ò ékßàєìv.
594. $\gamma^{\prime \mu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a t ~ i s ~ s a i d ~ o f ~ t h e ~ w o m a n ~ c o n t r a c t i n g ~ m a r-~}$ riage; $\gamma a \mu \epsilon i \nu$ of the man.

 here denotes the constant repetition of the charge.
600. тô $\tau \in ~ \sigma v v \nu a \dot{\mu} \sigma v$, and from thy mate. This word, which properly denotes feeding together, as in Philoct. 1436 , is here used in speaking of a husband, and in CEd. Col. 340 of a sister.
603. $\mu$ áct $\omega \rho$, properly, one who pollutes, who by crime, especially murder, causes pollution to others by contact. ©Ed. R. 353. Hence a man stained with blood, as in v. 275 supra. But here the word denotes an avenger of blood, and it is thus used also concerning a slain man who torments his murderer, Eurip. Med. 1371, and an avenging spirit or
 of the criminal and the avenger. The avenger wrought murder, and was polluted, until he obtained purification.
609. кazal $\chi$ ív, being often applied to those who are inferior to, or reflect dishonor upon, their honorable parents, is here used sarcastically by Electra concerning her resemblance in bad qualities to her mother.

610, 611. $\epsilon$ l, whether. - Eiveatc. The subject of this


Electra according to Herm, sc. 'H. छ̇vévect $\mu$ évec. Either is admissible. Comp. v. 600, and Antig. 371. Schneidewin, again, thinks, less correctly, that Clytemnestra is the object of $\delta \rho \hat{\omega}$, but that the reference is purposely ambiguous on account of the awe which the queen inspired. - тоӥठิ refers to the foregoing clause.
614. Here and in CEd. Col. 751, т7̀ıкoûros $^{\text {is used as a }}$ feminine for $\tau \eta \lambda_{\iota}$ кaír . These are the most remarkable instances of poetic license in regard to the common gender of adjectives.
 dence is conccived of as pursuing her, and as overtaking her when she suffers for it. The Hebrews said, "Your iniquity shall find you out," with the same figure.
 this verb in Alcest. 601.
630. The sense is, Wilt thou not then let me even sacri-

 started at the sound of a brazen trumpet. - Eौ申puos $\beta$ о̀ signifies, properly, a cry or voice of good omen; but as almost any expression during sacred rites might be of bad

 silence, and, by a singular fate of words, this meaning predominated.
635. ävakтı $7 \widehat{\varphi} 8$. By this is meant a statue or altar of Apollo, in the shape of a conical pillar standing before the door. Comp. Ed. R. 919. The usage of these pillars prevailed especially in the states of Doric descent. For ä้актt, see Antig. 988, note.
 a vow or prayer, seems to be derived from holding up the


hand, comes from putting the hand in another's hand in pledge of any thing, in which sense $\epsilon \epsilon \beta a \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu \chi \chi_{\epsilon} \dot{\rho} a$ is found, Trachin. 1181. —— In v. 637 $\eta \delta \eta$, already $=$ with no further explanation on my part. - $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a \tau \eta \rho^{\prime} \iota \epsilon$ probably protector, defender from evil.
638. "Recte monet Musgravius, ßáģı кєкрv $\mu \boldsymbol{́} \boldsymbol{\prime} \nu \eta \nu$ non de submissa voce, sed de ambiguitate et obscuritate dictorum intelligi debere." Herm. And so $\delta \iota \sigma \sigma \omega ̄ \nu$ òveipal is most probably to be understood.

642, 643. $\mu a \tau a i a \nu=\psi \in \cup \delta i \bar{\eta}$. Schol. recent. - $\bar{\omega} \delta \varepsilon$, i. e. when I use such obscure expressions. - $\tau \bar{\eta} \delta \bar{\epsilon}$, liac ratione, Wunder. Comp. 1302, Trachin. 554. But r̂̂ó may well refer to Electra, and kai be taken with it, being out of its proper place. The sense will then be, I will say it for her also, i. e. will so say it, that she may hear and not understand. So the Schol. just named have it.
646. єì $\pi \in ́ \phi \eta \nu \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda a ́$, if they have appeared, or are come betokening good. - סòs $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \phi{ }_{\rho} \rho a$, give them to me fulfilled, sc. grant the fulfilment of them.
651. ад $\mu \phi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ depends on $\delta{ }^{\circ} s$, to be supplied from its opposite $\mu \dot{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \phi \hat{\eta} s$ of the previous sentence.
653. єủ $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$ is to be taken with $\xi v \nu o u ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ \phi i \lambda o \iota \sigma t, ~$ living in prosperity with my friends. - Téк $\nu \omega \nu$ is for т'кvaıs, being attracted to its relative (comp. Alcest. 523). kai answers to $\tau \epsilon$ after $\phi$ i $\lambda \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma$.
655. Schneidewin notices the repetition of $\lambda$ here, as denoting the insinuating entreaties of Clytemnestra. He also remarks, that in the next line $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\circ}{ }^{a} \hat{\lambda} \lambda a$ refers especially to the wish that Orestes and Electra may be put out of the way.
660. Just as the prayer was finished, it seemed to be fulfilled. Human ignorance and guilt, having lost all faith in eternal justice, now triumph, and are certain of final impunity; but divine wisdom deceives, and by deceiving ruins them at the acme of hope. There is a striking scene
of the same kind in GEd. R. 945 et seq., where Jocasta openly contemns the oracles of the gods as being false, which in a few minutes assert their truth, and involve her and her husband in utter ruin. - The Schol. observes, that the old man here avoids the extremes of pity and gladness, acting the part of an indifferent stranger. He also remarks, that the poet was happy in making Electra and Clytemnestra hear the news together, that the story might not be told twice, and that the feelings of both might be shown at the same time.

663, 664. The sense is, Am I right also in again guessing that this is his wife? kupeiv here means to hit the mark, reach the truth. Comp. Æsch. Suppl. 584 (589),
 right in pronouncing us sprung from Epaphus. rovđávo is similarly used in Philoct. 223.- For $\pi \rho \bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon$, she appears, see Alcest. 512. Or it may have the more emphatic sense of conspicua, or decoro adspectu esse.
 said as betokening a happy answer to my prayer. The aorist $\bar{\varepsilon} \delta \epsilon \bar{\xi} \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ narrates what were her feelings the moment before, when the other was pronouncing the words of good omen.
670. To what was said in the note on v .45 , I here add from Hermann (review of Müller's Eumenides, Opusc. 6. 2. 186), that the poet shows great judgment in making this message come from Phanoteus rather than from Strophius, the uncle of Orestes, and naturally the foe of Clytemnestra. She would not have put credit in the news if communicated by Strophius, but would have suspected some plot. Two separate messages are therefore contrived ; one from Phanoteus, the bearer of which meets with ready audience; the other from Strophius, with the ashes, which naturally is brought more slowly, and is thus received with confidence.
676. For the participle $\begin{aligned} & \text { avobura following } \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \text {, comp. }\end{aligned}$

Mt. § 569. 5. a $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \lambda_{\lambda \omega}$ is so used in 1443, 1452. Usually the infinitive, or the participle with $\omega s$, occurs in such cases. ——Another reading of considerable authority for má入a
 For ขv̀̀ te кai tóte, v. 907 infra. The present tense includes the past in such cases; and usually is found even with $\pi$ á̀az alone.
682. $\pi \rho \sigma \dot{\sigma} \chi \eta \mu a$ governs the two genitives in different re-
 $\delta \epsilon \pi о к і \lambda \omega \nu{ }_{\pi \epsilon} \epsilon \pi \lambda \omega \nu$, and Eurip. Electr. 443, 'нфаїтov хрv-
 viz. the shield made upon Vulcan's golden anvil. The sense is the same as if $\dot{d} \gamma \bar{\omega} \nu a$ stood in apposition with it, Gracia's pomp of games. Or, as Herm. explains it, à $\gamma \omega \bar{\nu} a$ takes the place of an adj. = dyouvorukóv. See v. 19." ${ }^{\mu} \theta \lambda \omega \nu$ xáp $\rho \nu$ dicit, quia non spectatum sed certatum venerat Orestes." Herm. I. e. $a_{y}^{\prime} \not \omega_{\nu} \nu$ here denotes the games as an institution and an assemblage ; $\hat{a} \theta \lambda a$ as a series of contests.
 adopts, supplying $\kappa$ кifol from the next clause. The Olympic, and probably the Pythian games began with the stadium race.
686. The sense is, equalling the end of the race with his form, i. e. closing the race, by gaining the victory, with a brilliancy corresponding to his personal appearance. So Pind. (Nem. 3. 32) says of an athlete $\omega_{\nu}$ ка入ós, $\epsilon^{\prime} p \delta \omega \nu \tau^{\prime}$ teolкóra $\mu \circ \rho \phi a ̣$. There is allusion to $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \dot{s} s$ of v . 685, and фúrus $=$ eifors. Several Scholl. gave this sense to this not obscure passage. But Musgrave conjectured $\tau \mathfrak{i n}{ }^{1}{ }^{\top} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \epsilon$, i. e.
 have received, although not agreed as to the meaning of the passage. According to Brunck, equalling the close of the race with the start is hyperbolical language, denoting going through the course in an instant, which is flat and forced. According to Hermann, the expression means,
going back to where he set out, which, he says, is a very plain description of the diaulus.

689, 690. крát $=\nu_{\text {íkas. }} \quad$ Comp. v. 85. - $\beta \rho a \beta$ ìs. Comp. vv. 1107, 1442; K. § 57.
691. All the MSS. have $\delta \rho \rho^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu \quad \delta \alpha \alpha \nu \lambda \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \in \epsilon \lambda^{\prime}$ or $\pi \pi^{\prime} ย \tau a \theta \lambda^{\prime} a$, which absurd reading is altered by Porson into
 ráe $\Theta \lambda a$ as put by attraction for the genitive, but does not say how the genitives of this line are governed. $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{a}^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda^{\prime}$
 is un-Attic. The pentathlum, again, seems to have taken place on the second day, after the horse-race. Hence the text follows Porson's conjecture, but the line is probably

697. סívauro has an indefinite subject, e. g. ris. Comp. v. 1323 ; K. § 238 , R. 3.
$698,699 . i \pi \pi \iota \kappa \omega \overline{ }$, sc. ä $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$, which word, or $\hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \dot{\rho} \dot{a}^{\prime}$, is un-
 swiftness of foot. Comp. the expression in v. 861, and

702. d $\rho \mu$ áã $\omega \nu$ for $i \pi \pi \omega \nu$, by metonymy. See Alcest. 67. _-By sifues, the Greeks of Cyrene in Libya seem to be meant, who also colonized and possessed the neighboring town of Barca (v. 727). Their skill in horsemanship is abundantly shown by several of Pindar's Odes. 'They were the first Greeks who drove four horses abreast (Herodot. 4. 189). Comp. Casaub. on Athen. 3, cap. 22. But there is an anachronism, since Cyrene was founded long after the tine of Orestes. By a still greater anachronism, the poet refers the Delphic games of his own day to the era of the Trojan war.
703. Thessalian horses were highly prized by the ancients. Hence the direction in Athenæus 7, p. 278. E, to
 ing the best of their kind. - Etolia, too, was famed for horses. Strabo, viii, 388.

706．The Ænianes were a Hellenic tribe，dwelling on Mount EEta，and therefore called also EEtæi．－White horses were an aristocratic distinction at Athens，as white asses were among the Jews．Judges v． 10.
 curru suo implens，i．e．ordine decimus．＂Herm．

709．${ }^{*} \theta$ ．＂${ }^{2} \theta \iota, u b i$ ，si bene memini apud Euripidem non legitur nisi in melicis，et in metro anapæstico．＂Elms－ ley on Eurip．Iph．in Taur．34．＂This is the only case of the kind in the trimeters of the tragic poets．
 by casting lots assigned them their place．The proper object of $\pi \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ is $\kappa \lambda \lambda_{\eta} \rho o u s$ ，which being omitted，the verb alone came to mean to cast lots，and by consequence，in an active sense，to allot，or assign by lot．－نimaì $\sigma a ̀ \lambda \pi r \gamma \gamma \mathrm{~s}$ ． See v．630，note．
713．${ }_{\epsilon} \nu$. Herm．says，that the adverbial ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu$ corresponds with simul only in the sense of therewith，or besides，and not in that of thereupon，and therefore reads $\hat{c}_{\kappa}$ in this pas－ sage．But as év toúrous has the meaning of at the time of these things，as well as that of amid or together with these things，why may not $\hat{\epsilon} \nu$ alone have both acceptations？It has the same sense in Antig． 420.

715，716．форєiӨ＇，фєiठо⿱亠䒑o，are here written without a coronis，on the supposition that the augment is omitted． Upon the question，whether elision takes place，or the aug－ ment is omitted，see Mt．§ 160.

717．$\chi^{\text {voas }}$ Suidas defines by oiporyas．The latter，he adds，from the Schol．on this place，＂is the part of the axle inserted into the hole of the wheel．And the hole of the wheel itself is also so called．＂The first signification ob－ tains here：comp．vv．721，745．Perhaps $\sigma \dot{\rho} \rho \gamma \dot{\xi}$ properly denoted the hole，$\chi{ }^{\prime} \dot{0}$ ，the end of the axle，$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \nu \eta$ ，$\chi^{o u v i к \eta}$ ，the nave of the wheel；but they are more or less confounded． ——ßárets，orbitas．
719. Brunck cites Virg. Georg. 3. 111. "Humescunt spumis flatuque sequentum." Add Miad xxiii. 380.
 which the charioteers turned. The spina, which ran a little obliquely near the middle of the Roman circus, was wanting in the Greek hippodrome, where several pillars in a line divided the course. In the same way $\sigma \tau \bar{\eta} \lambda \eta \nu$ âk ${ }^{\text {and }}$ v. 744, is explained in a Scholium of a Barocci MS., which may be seen in Vauvillier's edition of Sophocles, by тò̀ ка $\mu$ -
 properly, keeping his horses in the direction of, guiding them towards. it intous is here to be supplied, as in several similar phrases. imó, with verbs of motion, strictly denotes, direction under, and the noun which it governs is an elevated object. aủrì here contrasts the pillar itself with its neighborhood, and may be translated with $\dot{\boldsymbol{i} \pi \delta}$, directly under, directly towards.- Déstov, к. r. $\lambda$. Of the four $_{\text {. }}$ horses abreast, the inner pair were under the yoke, but the two outer ones were only held by a rein, and hence called $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \hat{\rho} a t o o$. The driver turned towards the left, so that the horse next the meta is called $\dot{\delta} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa \epsilon i \mu e \nu o s$, sc. $\tau \bar{j} \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \lambda \eta$. The horse on the right, being farther from the pillar and making the greatest arc in turning, had full rein given him. Comp. Antig. 138-140, note. This passage is suggested by Iliad xxiii. 336, where Nestor, after telling his son to draw up close to the meta, and to lean with the weight of


724. äбтонои. Schol. $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \sigma \tau о \mu o t, ~ a n d ~ s o ~ S u i d a s . ~ C o m p . ~$


725, 726. 及ía $\phi^{\prime} \rho o v a \iota v . ~ C o m p . ~ E u r i p . ~ H i p p o l y t . ~ 1224 . ~$
 non parens, effuso cursu, rectorem aufert." Herm. It has the same sense with $\beta$ ía $\phi^{\prime} \rho \in \pi a l$. Plato, Phædr. 254. A.
—— ${ }^{3} \kappa \delta^{0} \dot{i} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \hat{\eta} s$ is variously explained. Hermann renders it by ex iteralo, rursus, denuo, and takes it with $\tau \epsilon$ גoûvres. "Dum ex iterato sextus ac septimus jam cursus peragitur. Dicit hoc: metas iterum atque iterum ambiendo sextum et jam septimum cursum agunt," etc. The phrase thus understood is nearly idle. Wunder joins it to $\sigma v \mu \pi a i-$ ovaı in the sense of reversing their course, or turning round. "In contrariam partem aversi . . . adversas frontes impingunt." Schneidewin explains it by the German phrase "aus der Wendung (der Bahnlinie) gerathend," getting out of the direction or race-path. The context, even v. 727, leaves it uncertain whether the poet conceives of these horses coming into collision from the side, or in front. -

 belongs to ${ }_{\epsilon} \beta \delta \delta \mu o \nu$, and $\tau \in \lambda o u ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ with that word supplies a future's place. The sense is, While performing the sixth course, and already in the act of performing the seventh.
 puto enim $\tau^{\prime}$, et, pro ${ }^{\eta}$, aut, hic usurpari." To this Wunder accedes. On one occasion (Pind. Pyth. 5. 65) forty chariots were broken.

731-733. The Attic poets omit no occasion of glorifying their countrymen. - àvacioxєvєє " is properly used, when, in a storm at sea, men take in the sails, and toss upon the spot where they are, without trying to make head against the wind." So Herodot. 6. 116 uses it to denote in alto tenere, but 7. 168 to stop by the land. But here it answers to à àacє $\rho a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$, to rein back or in. - mapeis, letting pass.
734. "Verba Sophoclis duas admittunt interpretationes, unam, quæ Monkio placuit; postremus quidem vehebatur, sed cohibebat equos, ut qui in fine spem repositam haberat. In qua tamen insolentius dictum videtur íviépas é $\begin{gathered} \\ \epsilon \nu \nu \\ \tau \text { às }\end{gathered}$ $\pi \omega ́ \lambda o u s$, retinere equos ut vosteriores sint. Altera interpre
tatio, et ea mihi quidem verior videtur, hæc est, qua $\delta e ́$ non
 tur: posterior quidem vehebatur Orestes, sed, quum minus validos equos haberat, in fine ponens fiduciam. Ita, ut statuam, facit Homerus, quem imitatus est Sophocles (Iliad xxiii. 319)." Herm. But the interpretation of Monk is to be preferred, because the local sense of iotépas forces itself upon the mind.——比o $\chi$ aros, not outmost, but furthest behind. The Athenian had escaped the general disaster by reigning his horses in, and turning outwards; Orestes, by being behind.
736. "Interpretes $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ ò de Oreste, vì de Atheniensi intelligunt. At sic nemo mon éкeìou potius quam ỳ̀ dici expectaret. Nì enim de eo dici necesse est, de quo potissimum sermo est. Atqui is est Orestes." Herm. But the sense requires that $\dot{\delta}$ be referred to the nearest subject, Orestes. He had kept his horses back, and now, seeing one competitor alone on the ground, urges his horses to their full speed. In other words, $\delta \iota \dot{\kappa} \kappa \iota$ necessarily, as well as $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda a v \nu \varepsilon$, points at an action of Orestes. An excellent MS.

738. $\delta \iota \omega \in \kappa \iota$, pushes on ; $\imath \pi \pi o v s$ is to be supplied.
741. The courses were twelve in all. Pind. Pyth. 5. 45. - $\dot{\omega} \rho \theta o v \theta^{\prime}$, he passed straight onwards through the courses. But Ellendt interprets this word, as in the passive, with the sense of erigor, thus burdening the poet with an unusual tautology. Comp. Philoct. 1299 for the sense which seems here to be required. - obp $\begin{aligned} & \text { òs } \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \dot{\partial} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} \nu, ~\end{aligned}$ standing upright in his upright (yet not overturned) chariot. $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi}$ is used because the action of driving to the spectator seems to procecd out of, or exert itself from, the chariot. Comp. Antig. 411 for ${ }^{\epsilon} \kappa$, and Antig. 1266 for the adjective used twice.
743. $\lambda \dot{v} \omega \nu$, while in the act of disentangling the rein, which was held fast by some of t'e gear, so that he was
unable to curb the horse and keep the chariot far enough from the meta.
 $\left.\chi^{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\prime} \nu\right)$. A better explanation of $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma a s$ than Ellendt's, who says, "dictum quod axis rote mediam partem tenet."
747. т $\tau \eta$ ๆтốs $\{\mu \hat{a} \sigma \iota$. See v. 863, and comp. Eurip. Hippolyt. 1245. - $\pi i \pi \tau 0 \nu \tau o s ~ \pi t e ̂ ̀ \omega . ~ \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \nu \nu$ is not very often followed by a dative of that which is fallen upon or into, without a preposition. Comp. Eurip. Orest. 88, $\delta \epsilon \mu \nu i o t s ~ \pi \epsilon \in \tau \tau \omega \kappa \epsilon$.
 Lexicon remarks, that $\pi i \pi r \omega$ with ${ }^{\ell} \nu$, or with the local dative without $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, has the sense, not of to fall upon (which belongs to miatetv eis), but to fall and lie upon, to lie fallen on. This explains the use of the present participle, as the events mentioned could not have occurred while he was falling. But $\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ év is not always so used; e. g. in Antig. 782 it may have the sense of $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi i \pi r \epsilon \nu$, irruere.
749. arpatos, the assembly. This word, which originally denoted a body of men spread out or encamped (from the root of $\boldsymbol{\sigma \tau \rho \dot { \omega } \nu v \nu \mu ,}$, sterno, stratum), is often used, especially by Æschylus, as a synonyme of $\lambda \epsilon \omega$. $\lambda \epsilon$ 's itself denotes the troops, especially the infantry.
750, 751. For the form of this sentence, see the note on Alcest. 949. - ìvàadù́sel takes an accusative of the person, like $\beta a \hat{a} \nu$ (Alcest. 235) and other verbs of crying and groaning; as denoting pity or sorrow. We have also dya-

 nare Thebas, Eurip. Bacchæ 24. - aia . . . oia may be translated as if they were örı roaùra . . . rouẫra. Comp. Alcest. 144.
752. ror' is to be supplied before форой $\mu$ ног. Comp.

754. катабх $\in$ Óves is accented as an aorist participle, being clearly such in sense. Comp. Prometh. 16.
757. Most MSS. read кj́avтes, but those which follow the recension of Triclinius read кeiayres, and this Buttm. (Largest Gram. 2. 161) regards as the genuine epic form. Erfurdt and Herm. read kéaprєs, as being more Attic.
758. $\sigma \pi o \delta o \hat{v}$ qualifies $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$, as an adjective would, or
 etc. The sense is, a body reduced to miserable ashes. See v. 19, and comp. v. 58. $\mu^{\prime} \hat{\gamma} / \sigma \tau \sigma \nu, ~ i . ~ e . ~ o n c e ~ v e r y ~ g r e a t . ~$
 The optative, as Herm. says, is said ex mente illorum qui afferant cineres.
 nobis qui vidimus. Schaef. The last words are added, as an epexegetical after-thought, to show that he was one of the witnesses.
764. The term $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ t \eta s$ is very rarely used, as in this instance, by free persons in Greece (v. 129, 1227) in speaking of their king. See Valckenaer on Eurip. Hippolyt. 87.

766. After $\tau i$ taîta only a comma is to be put; two clauses (viz. тi тav̂тa $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$ and $\pi о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ є v ่ \tau u \chi \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega)$ being condensed into one. Comp. Alcest. 675.
770. סetvòv é $\sigma t i \nu, ~ h a s ~ a ~ v e r y ~ g r e a t ~ i n f l u e n c e . ~ C o m p . ~$ Prometh. 39.
771. For the use of the masculine singular here, comp.


774, 775. Eavóvтos . . . óvtıs, of his having died, who, aủroû being omitted. This use of a participle and a noun, though admissible in Greek, is less frequent than in Latin.
 daybreak.
781. The sense is, Impending time always led me along (the path of life) as though I should die; i. e. I lived in constant dread of being killed at some near future time.

most modern interpreters. Neue finds in the word ${ }_{r}$ an allusion to the office of a $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau a ́ r \eta s$, which is probably in-
 in v. 179), under whose tutelage or control I am. ——Sophocles has wisely painted the mother's love and the murderer's dread of wrath as existing together in Clytemnestra's mind. As the two feelings are contrary, her joy at this news is not excessive. She feels (v. 1768) that her very deliverance from the fear of vengeance is attended with a sting.
783. $\nu \hat{i v v} \delta \mathrm{E}$ is repeated in v. 786. A double parenthesis intervenes, occasioned by $\nu \hat{v} \nu$, and by $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon$. —— $\dot{a} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \gamma \eta \nu$ refers to the point of time when she heard the news. There is therefore no need of $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu a t$, which many authorities give.

785, 786. ékтivoval. Comp. Antig. 532. - то̛̀цòv agrees with ai $\mu a \psi \nu \chi \hat{\eta} s$, life-blood, as if they were united in one word. —— $\nu \bar{\nu} \nu \delta \in ́$. The sense is, But now we shall pass our days in quiet, I think (mov), as far as this one's threats are concerned.

792, 793. Schaefer joins tov Gavóvzos with ákove, and places a comma after $N \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \sigma \iota$, in which case $\boldsymbol{\Pi} \nu$ of the next line is masculine. But no prayer addressed by Orestes to Nemesis is alluded to; while it is natural that Electra should call upon the goddess to hear her mother's proud taunts. N'̂́ $\mu \in \sigma \iota s$ Өayóvtos is like 'Epıvע̀̀s matpós, CEd. Col.
 ิิ̀ $\delta \in \hat{\epsilon}$, what she ought to hear, alludes to Clytemnestra's prayers, that the proud threats of Orestes might meet with retribution.

 swers to our not that. ——Monk takes v. 795 as a question.
 takes the opt. with $a \nu$ in the apodosis; a past action being
the condition, and a possible present effect the consequence. See Mt. § 524. 2. 2. The sense is, If you have made her to cease, - it can be said that you are come. —— émavaas is used with allusion to the same verb in the previous lines.
800. In the first edition I admitted into the text кaragios, the reading of most MSS., which Hermann defends on the ground that ${ }^{\Delta} \nu$ can be supplied from the preceding verse. This is now changed into кađá $\iota^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \not \partial \nu$, in accordance with the opinion of a number of critics; - 1 . Because three MSS. are now known to contain the reading $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \mathfrak{a} \xi i a v$, which is an easy corruption of кaтási' á $\nu$, and кaтasics, like other adverbs in $\omega s$ (Elmsley on Bacchæ 192), may have stolen into
 трágetas be not, in this connection, "a palpable solecism," as Monk says, still it does not appear to be "satis Græce dictum."
802. $\notin \kappa$ коо $\theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ for $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \xi \omega$, because her cries would come from without. So, v. 1323, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\ddot{\nu}}{\epsilon} \nu \delta \partial \theta \epsilon \nu$, because some one came out, and v. 1339, $\tau \dot{a} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \in \nu$, things thence, for things there, state of things in the house, because the knowledge of them came to persons outside.
805. $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega} s$ alludes bitterly to $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \delta \dot{\nu}$, v. 770.

806, 807. $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \tau \eta \nu o s . ~ S e e ~ v . ~ 439, ~ n o t e . ~-~ a ́ \lambda \lambda ', ~ n a y, ~$ rather.
 vv. 790, 791. These words are spoken plainly in bitter irony.
818. छُvoıkos (sc. av̉roîs) є̈ббou'. The text is suspicious here, as the elision of the verbal ending at, by the tragic poets, is very doubtful. Dawes transposed, ë $\sigma \circ \mu a \imath$ そivookos. Herm. reads $\epsilon^{\ell l} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \mu$ '.
 ad hasce fores me ipsa permittens, i. e. mei copiam faciens ad quodvis malum mihi inferendum." Herm. Brunck's prostrata comes nearer to the sense of this phrase, which
seems to mean, abandoning myself, negligently casting myself.
 after Herm. and Monk, takes this word in its neuter sense, latent.
 a gloss cited by Brunck gives to it, $\mu \eta \delta \grave{e} \nu$ ảm $\rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \grave{c}_{s}$ eis roùs Aeov̀s єiljns. We must'suppose in the actor loud bursts of grief. Hence the Chorus demands ri סaкpúєıs; what need of this hopeless grief? to which Electra answers by an interjection of mingled grief and passion. Comp. Theocr.

 Chorus implied that it retained some hope for Electra. Her entire despair and dejection show that she could not have uttered vv. 823-826, which are given to her by Brunck.

836-848. The Chorus alludes to a case similar to that of Agamemnon, in which a bad wife suffered divine vengeance. Amphiaraus, knowing that he should die if he joined the expedition against Thebes, hid himself, but was betrayed by his wife Eriphyle, for the sake of a golden necklace. His son Alcmæon avenged his death upon Eriphyle. Incidentally his honor in the world below is brought forward as a comforting thought. Electra denies that the cases are parallel, since he had a son to avenge him, but Agamemnon has none. - oiba $\gamma$ áp. I must suggest hope, for $I$ have in mind the case of, etc. - крифө́évтa $\chi \rho v \sigma \sigma-$
 is read ; but it injures the measure, and is obviously a gloss
 and swallowing Amphiaraus alive. - ${ }^{\circ} \rho \kappa \epsilon \sigma \iota$, the golden necklace given to Eriphyle, inasmuch as it was a snare to her, and led her to betray her husband. In the Choëph. 608, Æschylus mentions another woman, Scylla of Mega-
ra, who destroyed her father, र $\chi \nu \sigma \in о \delta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau о \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ó $\rho \mu o \iota s \pi \iota \theta_{\eta}$ $\sigma a \sigma a \delta \dot{\omega} \rho \circ \iota \sigma \iota$ Miva. Sophocles seems to have had the passage in his mind when he wrote these lines. - $\pi a ́ \mu \psi v \chi o s$ áváo $\sigma \epsilon \iota$. One Schol. $\pi a \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \psi v \chi \hat{\nu} \nu$ à $\nu a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$. And so Mt. \$ 446. 8, Herm., and others. Brunck on Æsch. Pers. 677 (691) says: "Veterum opinio erat reges, qui virtute et factis excelluissent, apud inferos magna in dignitate' esse, imperiumque in mortuorum simulacra, seu animas, exercere." Comp. Odyss. xi. 485, cited by Brunck, and see Blomf. on Persæ, ubi supra ( 697 of his ed.). In a frag.
 a somewhat similar thought. But it may be justly doubted whether the word can have this meaning. The Schol. also defines $\pi a ́ \mu \psi v \chi o s$ by $\dot{a} \theta a ́ v a \tau o s ~ a n d ~ b y ~ \delta ı a \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma a s ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ย̇avtov̂ $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$, i. e. possessed of full vitality, unlike the halfalive shades of the deceased. This last sense Passow and others, with reason, prefer. —— ỏ̉oà $\gamma$ áp. ỏ̉ò̀ has here a passive sense, perdita. The Chorus began to say, For the worthless woman-; but Electra in a question anticipates what was intended to be said: was slain? (do you mean?)

 Amphiaraus. Comp. v. 290, where ${ }_{\epsilon}^{e} \nu \pi_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \theta_{\epsilon \iota}$ means in mourning for others.

849-859. $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda a i a ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \pi i \omega \nu=\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \sigma \iota o \tau a ́ t \eta$. Similar super-
 Philoct. 65. - кขрєîs, sc. ьủテa. See Antig. 487. Or кขрєîs can be active. Then the construction is $\delta \in i \lambda a i a$ ov̉oa кvpeis $\delta \in i \lambda a i \omega \nu$, miserable already, you meet with (new) miseries. __ In v. 852, Hermann's emendation, aiê $\nu t$, appears in the text, without which both metre and sense are embarrassed. Musgrave had conjectured aikı $\omega \nu$; but the adjectives can hardly be used substantively here, unaccompanied by an article. - $\pi \alpha \mu \mu \eta \nu \varphi=\pi \hat{a} \sigma t \quad \mu \eta \sigma i$, perpetual. Comp. Antig. 607, 608. - $\pi a \nu \sigma u ́ p r e ́ ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega ิ \nu ~ \sigma \tau v \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau \epsilon$
 $I$ also am aware, too well aware, of this, in a life which for ever draws along with it a flood of miseries and calamities. _- In vv. 855-859 the sense is, Draw me no longer aside (sc. from grief), where (i. e. in a case where, when) I have no more the aid of my hoped-for noble brother. è $\lambda \pi i \delta i \omega \nu$, object of hope, person hoped for. So $\epsilon \lambda \pi{ }^{\prime} s$ is often used, as by St. Paul, Rom. viii. 24. Comp. $\mu \hat{i} \sigma o s$, Antig. 760. ápoyai, derived from the Scholiast by Musgrave, and now confirmed by one excellent MS., is to be preferred to djayoi, with which the sense must be hoped-for helper (v. 19). In that case the adjectives would be joined to the genitive, by a poetic license, for which see Antig. 794, note.

861-870. The preceding words are to be supplied: "̈фv


 $=i \mu \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ of v .747 , where $\tau \mu \eta \tau o i s$ also occurs. -- 'ं $\gamma \kappa \hat{\nu} \rho \sigma a \iota$ is the subject of $\neq \phi \nu$, and $\mu$ о́pos its predicate. - - ä $\sigma к о \pi о s$, "cujus finem conspicere non possumus, immensa. Sic
 stranger, in a strange land. *

871-874. rol, profecto. Herm. Brunck altered this into $\sigma \alpha \iota$ - — $\delta \iota \dot{\kappa \kappa о \mu а \iota, ~ p r o p e r o . ~ B r u n c k ' s ~ t r a n s l a t i o n ; ~ a n d ~}$ so Passow, who considers it as in the middle. But then
 sive, we have the sense, $I$ am driven on by pleasure, which gives both clauses their full meaning.
 the accusative absolute with $\omega s$, the sense being, on the ground that, or because I know that, he is present. Elmsley supplies $\epsilon i \delta v i a, ~ \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma a$, or some similar participle (note on Heraclid. 693). $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$, however, may be easily supplied from the preceding clause ; and the construction is like $\lambda \epsilon$ -
 Agam. 683. - $\nu \bar{\varphi} \nu$, for us both, seems to allude to thine own evils and mine, v. 880 .
 interdum usi esse, ubi, si severius rem expendas, non erat

 Comp. Mt. § 626.
 $\pi \nu \rho i$, a fire or fever of joy, and with $\chi^{\text {apá, }} \mathrm{Ajax} 52$. The word is here used to denote that which ruins by maddening the brain, or by the bitter disappointment which must follow.
 Comp. Alcest. 836. And in the same way verbs of sight often adopt adverbs of motion instead of those of rest. See v. 1429. In this mode of speaking, the sight or image proceeding from the object, and not the sensation, was thought of. סिڤ̂ êk is, I have a sight, I receive an impression of sight, proceeding from.

 Homer. Kühner (largest Gr. § 525) refers this case to the genitive of material. But see Mt. § 345 .

 $\pi v p a ̄ s$ (for which see Alcest. 608, note) is a poetic genitive of place. K. § 273. 4; Cr. § 379. The multitude of words here used to denote the grave or burial-place is re-
 was freshly cut by not having seen it before.

 silence lest some word of ill omen should escape me. See v. 630 .
908. rov. This genitive of a person seems to be used as the source whence the decoration came, rather than to depend on à $\gamma \lambda$ ä́ $\sigma \mu a$ understood. See Antig. 10.
 199. - $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{2} \gamma$, quippe cui.
 is an objection which she is answering.
 see Alcest. 901, note.
915. $\dot{\text { entrima is here taken for marks of honor conferred }}$ upon Agamemnon; $=\vec{a} \gamma \lambda a i ̈ \sigma \mu a \tau a, ~ v . ~ 908 . ~ D i n d o r f ~ c o n-~$ jectures $\grave{\text { ėırı̇́ußıa. }}$
917. aitós. The MSS. have aủròs here, which Buttm. on Philoct. 119, and Wex on Antig. 929 (917), defend. The former asserts that the tragic poets use aùròs in the sense of idem, for $\dot{\delta}$ aujús.s. The latter says, that aj̀jos is employed when a person is conceived of as he is, and as opposed to a change of his nature; but $\dot{\delta}$ aivios when he is opposed to another subject. Thus oùk aùròs סaupávou in the present instance should mean, not a different $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$, but a dai $\mu \omega \nu$ with a different nature or character. But this is at variance with the fact, that, when a man's fortune changed, he was said to have another $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$, and vice versâ. Comp. Alcest. 913, $\mu$ етатіттоутоs סaíдvos; frag. Eurip. incert. (68, ed. Matth.) " a prosperous man ought not to think
 to Eurip. Hippolyt., Vol. VII. p. 502) has refuted Buttmann's view at large, with his usual good sense, and has brought Hermann round to his and the old opinion, that aùròs is never idem. Herm. says, on Antig. 920 ( 3 d ed.), "aủrós, ipse, adjectivum est, quo quis ab suis distinguitur, i. e. ab rebus omnibus quæ cum ipso conjunctæ sunt. $\delta$ aürds autem, idem, nomen est, quo quis in diversis rebus a se ipse non diversus esse significatur."

919, 920. ináp $\xi \in \iota$ кîpos, lit. will be the confirmation, will
determine the existence of. - avoias. For the government, comp. K. § 274 ; Cr. § 372 ; and vv. 1027, 1143, 1179, 1183, 1209.
 $\gamma \boldsymbol{\omega} \mu \eta$,s, sed per attractionem bis dixit öтo.." Herm. If this be so, фé $\rho \epsilon$, by zeugma, supplies the place of $\mathfrak{e l}$ with व̈тol $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$. The sense is, You neither know where you are nor what you mean.
924. тàkeìvou . . . $\sigma$ тйpla, deliverance by his hand, help from him. ià $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} p l a$ is here used as the noun $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i a$, and takes the subject genitive. Ellendt explains the phrase unsatisfactorily, salus, vita illius, making éxeivou the object genitive.
931. $\pi$ тò̀s $\begin{aligned} \text { á } \phi o v, ~ b r o u g h t ~ t o ~ t h e ~ t o m b ; ~-~ t h e ~ a c t ~ o f ~ b r i n g-~\end{aligned}$ ing being implied in $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \tau \sigma \mu a$, the mortuary present.
939. 入úєı ßápos here is not to alleviate, lighten the weight of suffering, in which sense $\lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \ell \nu \mu \varepsilon \lambda \delta ס \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a, \lambda v \sigma i-$ movos, etc. are used; but to unbind, by unbinding to remove the weight.
943. For $\tau \lambda \hat{\eta} v a \iota$ with a participle, comp. Cr. $\S 633$. The construction with the infinitive is far more common.
949. $\pi \iota$, not $\phi \hat{\lambda} \omega \nu$, but $\pi a \rho o v \sigma i a ~ \phi i \lambda \omega \nu$, which is the same as тарळ̀ ф фínos. Comp. Alcest. 606.
950. $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \epsilon \epsilon_{\mu} \mu \epsilon \theta_{o \nu} . \quad$ Elms. on Aristoph. Acharn. 733 (698, ed. Bekker), thinks that the Alexandrine grammarians invented the first person dual ; of which lliad xxiii. 485, Soph. Philoct. 1079, and the present line, - all of them easily altered, -afford the only instances in the Greek writers, except two in a line of Pompeianus, - a hunter of rare words cited by Athenæus. This last circumstance rather favors the early existence of such a form, as Buttm. (Large Gram. 2. 419) remarks. Nor is it credible, that the grammarians, if they invented this form, should have been so modest as to insert it in only three places of the classic writers. On the other hand, its disuse accords with a class
of facts relating to the disappearance of the dual from a number of languages.
 §बิута коі̀ өà入入oyra of Trachin. 235. Others read from con-

956. The poet makes Electra design to slay only Ægisthus, and not her mother; being deterred by horror at the deed, though she wishes it done, and rejoices in it, when Orestes is divinely ordered to do it. In like manner Hams let was to slay only his uncle.
958. Monk and Herm. take $\pi 0 \hat{\imath}$ with $\beta \lambda$ '́quara; the con-
 But the Scholiast makes it = cis $\boldsymbol{\text { tiva }}$ र ${ }^{\text {póvov, }}$, and so joins it with $\mu \varepsilon v e t ̇ s$, which is to be preferred. But mot̂ rather $=u p$ to what point, until what shall happen.
 бкovarl, comp. Mt. § 536. Both constructions are common; the dative taking the case of a previous noun, and the accusative that of the subject of the infinitive. In the present case the accusative was convenient on account of the

 See Alcest. 1093, note.
971. кaлei is in the future middle with a passive sense.
972. ópà $\pi p \rho \dot{s}_{s} \pi \iota$ signifies, to look with inclination or favor towards any thing. See Schaefer on Dionys. de Comp. Verb., p. 143. - тà $\chi$ р $\eta \sigma \tau \alpha ̀=$ roùs $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o u ́ s . ~$ Comp. Antig. 659.
977. Masculine pronouns, adjectives, and participles are often taken with feminines dual, or refer to them, as in vv. 1003,1006 . But here the noun is masculine, used instead of the feminine кaбtг
979. єย $\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \delta \kappa \kappa \iota v$, in a good situation, living in prosperity, being in a prosperous course of life. Comp. vv. 1057, 1094.

980．＂Recte Musgravius（Eurip．Androm．221），$\pi \rho o v-$ бтíтทע фóvov interpretatur administrarunt cadem．＂Herm．

982．$\pi a \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \mu \omega \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ ，i．e．in public assemblies，where the citizens are collected together．

996．$\delta \pi \lambda i \delta \epsilon$ takes the construction of verbs of clothing．

1002．ä̀ $\lambda$ vosos ärns，without suffering harm，without harm． Comp．ädunos $\gamma$ njpos，without the grief of old age，without old age，©Ed．Col．1519，cited by Schaefer，Meletem．p． 79. Adjective compounds of a privative often differ but little in meaning from $\ddot{a} \nu \in v$ ．

1005．$\lambda \hat{v} \epsilon \iota=\lambda v \sigma \iota r e \lambda \epsilon \hat{L}$ ．In this sense it takes a dative elsewhere．Herm．accounts for the accusative here by a species of attraction，as the following verb takes that case； or by a constructio ad sensum，the meaning being the same as if $\omega \phi \in \lambda \in \imath \quad$ or some such verb had stood in this place．I see not why $\lambda \hat{v} \epsilon \iota$ may not be taken in the active sense of freeing，as Erfurdt understands it，кaк⿳亠丷⿵冂 $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ being easily sup－ plied．The sense is apposite ：to die ingloriously（i．e．，as the next lines show，to be put to a slow death of torture and ignominious treatment）in no degree frees us from our evils，nor benefit us．Comp．vv．939，944，to which lines allusion is made．

1009，1010．Brunck，in his version，joins rò $\pi a ̂ \nu$ with $\gamma^{\prime}$＇vos．But it is rather to be taken with odé $\sigma \theta a u$ ，as an ad－



1012．The sense is，And I will preserve what has been said secret and undone，i．e．so that it shall remain secret， and nothing shall result from it．－oot，for thy sake． －$\phi u \lambda a \dot{\xi}$ ouat here adopts the meaning of the active． Comp．v．1504，where the construction with an adjective is similar．


In v. 1465, the infinitive after עov̂ ${ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \chi^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \nu$ is preceded by


1015. Schneidewin, with some reason, gives vv. 1015, 1016 to Chrysothemis, whose advice to her sister, v. 428, is of similar import. The Chorus, if it uttered these lines, would side against Electra, which it nowhere does. -_ $\pi \epsilon i \theta o v . \quad " B r u n c k i i ~ M S . ~ C . ~ \pi \ell \theta o v ̃, ~ q u o d ~ a b ~ i l l o, ~ m a g i s ~ A t t i-~$ cum judicatum, receperunt Erf. et Schaef. - Est hic unus ex ridiculis illis Atticismis, quales plurimos hæc ætas procudit. $\pi \iota \theta_{o v ̂}$ est obedi, quod. est, statim mutari sententiam et fieri quod jubeat, volentis : $\pi \epsilon i \theta o v$ autem; sine tibi persuaderi." Herm. ——For $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i ้ \nu$ limiting ä $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu o \nu$, comp. Antig. 439.
1018. '̇ $\pi \eta \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ has not the sense of asking here, which Thomas Magister, cited by Brunck, gives it, but rather of bidding, like our word tell.
1022. For ầ omitted, comp. v. 914. Others read пáura $\gamma^{2} \stackrel{a}{a} \nu$, or $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \nu \gamma$ à $\rho \stackrel{a}{ }{ }^{\nu} \nu$.
1023. фúrıy here denotes spirit, $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a$. She had the same spirit then, but her youthful mind was not equal to the task.


1028. кai requires that a clause should be supplied, such
 tience now, and I shall hear you with patience also when you commend me; i. e. I shall be forced to hear your confessions, amid your calamities, that you acted rashly.
1033. $\mu \eta \tau \rho i$... $\sigma \hat{\eta}$. "Hoc $\sigma \hat{\eta}$ magna cum vi additum; matri te digna." Schaef.
1035. The sense is, But then know at least to what a degree of disgrace thou art trying to bring me by thwarting my plans. In the next line, the genitives adopt the construction of àrıias here. Correlatives of oi must be
supplied in thought. -" "The equality of the parts of the line adds point to the sarcasm" (Schneidewin); àrıiaus and $\pi \rho o \mu \mu \theta$ ias being weighed against one another.
1037. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \hat{\varphi}$ ठukaị, what you call just. Comp. Antig. 573.
1040. థ̣. . . какผ̂. See v. 163.
 to my opinion.
1048. фpoveiv, to think of, pay attention to.- фрoveiv $\tau \iota$, infra, v. $1056=$ aliquid sapere; and touùra фpóveı seems to mean, talia licet sapias, i. e. keep that wisdom if you will; I want it not. , тoavîra there refers to $\tau u$. But another turn can be given to the phrase.
 Medea 1120, and elsewhere, teaches that ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with the subjunctive denies, but with the future indicative forbids; and that in the latter case the verb and $\mu \grave{\eta}$ are to be taken together, while oú affects the whole sentence, which is in-
 means, will you not not talk, but, etc., i. e. do not talk; but. He thinks ov̀ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ elliptical for ov $\delta \boldsymbol{\delta \epsilon \nu \grave { \partial } \nu} \mu \dot{\eta}$ in all cases. There are several examples, which, he says, cannot be reconciled with this rule, one of which is the present; another, CEd. Col. 177; a third, Aristoph. Frogs 512. In these cases he alters the text, reading in the present line ov̂, $u b i$, with some MSS. A fourth example, Antig. 1042 (where $\mu \grave{\eta}$ cannot belong to $\tau p \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a s)$, he does not notice. Herm., on Elmsley's Medea (Opusc. 3. 236), agrees with him, as to the interrogative nature of certain sentences where ou $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with the future is found; but justly remarks, that the prohibitive force is confined to the second persons of futures, and is not owing to the nature of the tense. Thus, as ov $\mu e \nu \epsilon i s ;$ will you not stay? is closely allied to $\mu \in i v o \nu$, so ou $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu$ eveis; answers to $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \mathrm{\epsilon}$ ivns. Mathix, in his Grammar, § 517, modifies Elmsley's views, but is quite confused, and
erroneous in several points. Thus he regards $\mathbf{v}$. 42 of this play as affording an instance of ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with a future; but $\mu \grave{\eta}$ is there confined to the subjunctive, and the future intorteívovàt has only où.

The following points seem to be clear:-1. ov̉ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with the subjunctive is elliptical for ov̀ $\delta \in \iota \partial ̀ \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$, or some similar phrase. Now, as words of fearing with $\mu \bar{\eta}$ are sometimes followed by a future indicative, so is it with ou $\mu$ ो thus elliptically used. The examples of this are rare, but not on that account to be condemned. 2. ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is sometimes not for ov่ $\delta \in \iota \nu \dot{\partial} \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$, but ov่ affects the sentence, which is interrogative, and $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ the verb. In all these cases, the verb is in the second person of the future. 3. The subjunctive with ov่ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and the future out of the second person deny; the second person of the future with ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$, if the interrogation is resolved, answers to an imperative.
 $\sigma \pi \sigma \mu a \iota$ is at most a slight one. Herm. (Opusc. 3. 188) says, that the subjunctive is used with regard to what we fear will happen at a certain or not distant time; the future indicative, with regard to what we fear will happen at an indefinite time. But the thing feared in v. 1029 is sufficiently indefinite, although we have ov $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \mathrm{a}_{\dot{\prime} \theta_{g} s \text {, and defi- }}$


1054. The sense is, There is great folly even in the attempt to obtain things useless. By кevà Electra intends her sister's plan of inaction.
 965 , roùs ăvo k入áSovras öpuls. äv $\omega \theta \in \nu$ is for âv $\nu$, perhaps on account of the verb of sight. See v. 894, and comp.

 port of those from whom. The filial piety of storks is alluded to. Comp. Aristoph. Birds 1353, where Patralœas
says, that he wishes to choke his father, and get all the estate. Pisthetærus replies:

> "But we, the birds, possess an ancient law, Graven upon the pillars of the storks, That, when the father stork all the yonng storklets Has fed, and fitted them to fly abroad, The young in tarn are bound to feed the father."
 has the sense of the middle evp $\omega \nu \tau a t$, procure for themselves, obtain. - The chain of thought in the first eight lines of this strophe seems to be this: Why do we not act like birds in respecting our parents? But if, like Chrysothemis, we are wanting in filial piety, we shall not long be unpun-
 тỗ $\sigma$ фápa, Rumor, who carriest news under the ground for mortals. "The ancients held that the knowledge of things in the upper world found its way to the dwellers in Hades. The supernatural cause of this was $\Phi \eta_{\mu} \mu,{ }^{*}$ Orra, 'Aуүєлia." Schneidewin. - катаßóaбо⿱ öта. .Comp. ßoâv $\boldsymbol{\omega} \dot{\eta} \nu$, Philoct. 216, and the note on v. 750.——áх́ $\rho \in ч \tau a$, sad, mournful. So Eurip. Troad. 121, äтas ảxopeúrovs. The sense of the last clause is, carrying (i. e. announcing, v. 873) mournful and disgraceful tidings.

1070-1081. The sense of the first four lines is, that ere now the affairs of their house are at a bad pass, and, as to what concerns the children, the discord of the two is no longer settled in an amicable mode of life. The first clause speaks of the prosperity of the adulterers and former evils; the second, of the variance of the two sisters, which had now become more declared. "Prior pars enunciationis propter posteriorem addita est, hoc sensu, quum jam laborent ex odio domestico (i. e. Clytemnestræ et Agisthi), accedere etiam rixas sororum." Herm. But Wunder explains the first clause of the death of Orestes, in which case $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \phi i \nu$ can only mean Agamemnon. -_ A syllable is want-
ing at the end of v. 1070; Herm., after Triclinius, adds $\delta \dot{\eta}$; Wunder introduces voreîcat into his text. - - $\delta \pi \pi \lambda \eta$
 עєі̂коs, Id. 111.- балєи́єь, properly, tosses. at anchor upon the sea, is at the mercy of the storm. - Tò à $\mathfrak{c i}$, sc. $\chi \rho o \dot{o} \circ \nu$, if the text is right. Comp. єis rò̀ viorepov, Trachin. 80, and perhaps rò̀ áєí, (Ed. Col. 1584. - èov̂oa furnishes the condition of the preceding line. The sense is, ready to give up life (for $\beta \lambda \epsilon$ ' $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ see v .66 ) after destroying (i. e. if thercby she can destroy) the two wretchies. 'Epıvús, in Trachin. 895, denotes mischief, ruin; here, author of mischief, like är in Antig. 533. - єv̈raтpıs, of a noble nature. $\epsilon \mathcal{v}^{\prime} \in \nu \dot{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ is often so used, e. g. in v. 257; Antig. 38. ——тis... $\beta$ ná́бтoı; Quis unquam adeo generosa existere (vel nasci) possit? Musgr. i. e. naturam adeo generosam habere possit.

1082-1089. A syllable is wanting at the close of $\mathbf{v}$. 1082. Herm. inserts $\gamma$ áp. -- $\omega \bar{\nu}$ как $\hat{s}$, by living basely, by consenting to retain life on base conditions (v. 989), not rebus in adversis, as Brunck has it. - $\dot{\omega}$, quomodo, i. e. in conformity with this conduct of the good. - коиуò єìخov, like кowò̀ поtếбAa, (Ed. R. 240, chose for thy companion. Thus кoù̀ is predicative, and the sense is, Thou chosest a life all sorrowful for thy companion. This is Schneidewin's explanation. - каӨот入íaаба л̀̀ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ кало́v.
 figato scelere, by fighting down wickedness with armed force. The participle is the means by which ф'єिєц may come to pass. - Búo $\phi^{\prime} \rho \in \epsilon \nu$ ćv évì $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \varphi$, lit. in order to obtain two things in one discourse, i. e. in order to acquire two titles together, when she is spoken of; viz. кєк $\lambda \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a u$, etc.

1091-1097. Herm. gives $\tau \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ in v. 1091, his own conjecture, and $\chi \in \iota \bar{i}$ for $\chi \in \rho i$ in $v$. 1090, from Eustathius. Dindorf, in both his edd., gives $\dot{\nu} \pi \delta \chi \in \varphi \rho$ in v. 1092
for $\boldsymbol{i} \pi \bar{\partial} \chi^{\epsilon} \hat{\iota} \rho a$（ $\chi \epsilon ́ \rho a$ ，Erf．and Herm．），from a conjecture of Musgrave and Hermann．－äpıara фєронє́và seems to be a phrase like $\tau \frac{a}{a} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a$ ，or ápıбтєía $\phi^{\prime} \rho \in \sigma \theta a l$ ，to get the first prize ；and â $\rho \iota \sigma \tau a \operatorname{\tau } \omega \nu \delta \varepsilon(\nu \circ \mu i \mu \omega \nu)$ ，the first prize for the observance of the laws．Comp．Antig．368，where yó $\mu$ ovs means，obedience to the laws．
 $\tau \epsilon$ ，because of the extreme frequency with which it occurs， after the same or a similar word in a second clause，as if $\mu \grave{\varphi} y$ and not $\tau \epsilon$ had preceded．See Herm．on Ajax 823. Orestes takes for granted（comp．v．759）that his pretended errand is known，and so does not explain himself fully．

1101．$\underset{\iota}{2} \theta a$ for ${ }_{\circ}^{\circ} \pi \circ v$ ，in indirect interrogation．See Al－ cest．785．－－${ }_{\circlearrowleft}^{\circ} \kappa \eta \kappa \in \nu$ ．To explain the use of the perfect， Wunder says，＂Non dubium est，quin oik̂̂ non tantum habito，sed etiam sedem pono in aliquo loco，vel habitatum
 concessisse，vel sedem posuisse，i．e．habitare．＂

1102．ả̧グクしos has an active sense here．He who told you has done you no harm，sc．by misdirecting you．

1104．$\pi \circ \theta \epsilon \iota \nu \eta \nu$, longed for by Clytemnestra and Ægisthus， as the Chorus should understand，but，as he meant，longed for by Electra and himself．In the next line，the poet， with refined art，introduces Electra to him so as to excite
 can mean nearest in place，or nearest to the people of the house in relationship．

1110．т $\nu \nu \sigma \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \kappa \lambda \eta \delta o ́ v a, ~ t h e ~ r e p o r t ~ y o u ~ m e a n . ~ S e e ~ v . ~$ 1037.

1111．For Strophius see v．45．Orestes，as pretending to come from him，a relative，could be expected not to know exactly what the messenger from Phanoteus had told．

1113．ф＇́роขтєs ．．．коріگонєу，we are bringing and have in charge．

phrase, in which éxễo refers to something before said, or thought of, or to some familiar truth. It is followed by a sentence explanatory of rov̂ro without a connective particle." Mt. § 471. 11. I have placed a colon after $\sigma a \phi ' s$. The earlier editions have a full stop; Hermann's, and some other modern ones, none, - without sufficient reason, as I think. Comp. Medea 98. - - äג $\theta o s$ is understood by Ellendt, Wunder, and others perhaps, of the urn. Brunck explains it as denoting Electra's burden of soul or grief. This is somewhat favored by the next line, where her sorrow is referred to, as though she had just alluded to it.
1117. т $\omega$ к как $\omega$ ע seems to depend on $\kappa \lambda \alpha i \epsilon \epsilon$, and not on r4. For the genitive following this verb, comp. Mt. § 368. a. _-The Schol. calls attention here to the business-like hardness of Orestes, who is afraid to betray himself by any emotion, and moreover is acting a part.
1122. $\dot{\mathbf{a}} \pi \mathbf{0} \dot{\delta} \rho \omega \mu \mu \mathrm{a}$ is in the first aorist.
 $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota . \quad \phi \dot{v} \sigma \nu$ is almost superfluous, as in v. 325.
1126. Aulus Gellius (7. 5) relates, that a celebrated actor, Polus, performed the part of Electra in this play, after the death of a beloved son. "Igitur Polus," says he, "lugubri habitu Electræ indutus, ossa atque urnam a sepulcro tulit filii, et quasi Oresti amplexus, opplevit omnia non simulacris neque incitamentis, sed luctu atque lamentis veris et spirantibus."

1127-1129. $\lambda o \iota \pi \dot{\delta} \nu$ is taken with $\mu \nu \eta \mu \bar{i} o \nu$, and $\psi v \chi \bar{\eta} s$
 "secus ac speraveram. ovंх $ิ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho, ~ p a r t i c u l a ~ o v ̉ к ~ a b u n d a n t e r ~$ posita cum attractione. Debebat enim dici кai oúX aíorte ' $\xi \in \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \sigma$." Herm.
1133. кл́́q২oa. The nominative participle sometimes appears in a subordinate clause after $\pi \rho i \nu$.
 vix opus est hodie moneri." Herm. See Prometh. 157, 749.

## 1138-1141. If Pope's elegantlines (which Monk cites), 一

"No friend's complaint, no kind domestic tear, Pleased thy pale ghost, or grace thy mournful bier: By foreign hands thy dying eyes were closed, By foreign hands thy decent limbs composed,"-
are compared with these similar ones, Sophocles will be found to be the better in simplicity and fenderness. Instead of pale ghost we have rálas, instead of gracing the mournful bier, and decent limbs, we have the natural expressions for the works of loved hands at the funeral. ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu$ is used to denote the instrument, because the body was in the hands of those who washed and buried it. -—d $\theta \lambda$ tov ßápos. Comp. Alcest. 204.
1152. Erfurdt and Herm. put a colon after $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$, and write $\sigma \dot{v}$, because $\sigma$ ol " intolerabili languore foedat hunc locum," and Wunder says that they only can retain ooi, "qui sensum nexumque totius loci non perspectum habuerunt." I incline nevertheless to adhere to the reading of the MSS.; and to interpret the phrase, I am dead by your means, you have slain me. $\begin{aligned} & \text { vín } \sigma \kappa \omega \text { seems to take a dative like that }\end{aligned}$ which follows a passive verb, answering to the familiar

 $\delta^{\prime}$ oixo $\mu a t$, is perhaps also in point. Eurip. Androm. 334,
 ter's hand.


1174. "Ut recte monet Monkius, aồ $\lambda_{0} \gamma \omega \nu$ jungenda. sunt; qui tamen addere debebat, ad eumdem genitivum participium ${ }_{\alpha} \mu \eta \chi^{\alpha} \nu \bar{\omega} \nu$ referendum esse." Herm.
1176. Hermann writes but one interrogation-mark in
 It may be doubted, however, whether two short questions are not better suited to the excited state of Electra's feelings.
1179. Ellendt, under rá̀as, considers raגaivjs as an epithet of $\sigma v \mu \phi \circ \rho a ̄ s$, like aù̀ıov rá̀av, Philoctet. 1087, but under $a t$ denies that it can be so used, and understands it of Electra, miserable on account of her hard lot. The first

 notices the happy art of the poet here, in representing Orestes so astonished and affected by his sister's wasted and woebegone appearance as to be unable to answer her repeated questions.
1181. a $\theta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega s$, without the gods' help, in a state of deser-


1183. т $\rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s$, mode of life. So ©Ed. Col. 328.
1185. Orestes says, How ignorant was I, as it seems, of my misfortunes! i. e. he calls his sister's woes his own, and means that he was little aware of the greatness of her suffering, in which he thus sympathized. roûra in v. 1186 denotes his ignorance.
1187. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi a v \sigma a \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \gamma \epsilon \sigma \iota$, not shining amid sufferings by reason of constancy and greatness of soul, as Passow explains this phrase, (thus bringing in a circumstance foreign to the context, and about which Orestes could be supposed to know nothing,) but conspicuous for a multitude of sufferings. $\stackrel{\epsilon}{e} \nu_{\nu}$ in this compound denotes $a m i d$, i. e. being environed with. Comp., from a frag. of Sophocles, $\gamma v=$
 apparel imitating that of women, literally, conspicuous in, i. e. being dressed in.
 idiom explained in the note on Alcest. 106.

 тaî̃a dicere deberet, pronomen ad nomen àváүxn accommodavit." Herm.
1194. ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi$ troî seems here to be intransitive; something as the verb to equal in English has both the senses of to make equal and to be equal to. Lobeck in the second edition of
 $\mu \in \sigma \alpha \sigma$, in like manner deflected from their ordinary transitive sense.
1195. $\lambda{ }^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ fiov seems to mean, ill treatment in regard to the mode of living, privation of comforts.
1200. The inconsistency between this verse and v. 130 must be laid to the account of Electra's excited feelings. - עûע. . . $\pi \circ \tau \epsilon \in$, now at last.

1201, 1202. With Erfurdt, Schneidewin, and some good manuscript authority, I now read roîs ı̈бoıs for roîct oois. The sense is, (I pity) because I am here afficted with equal woes. - $\xi_{v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta}$. . . $\pi 0 \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu$, a relative from any source, i. e. in any way. Plat. Politic. 257. D, кєцòvขєv́ยтоע ä $\mu \phi \omega$
 related to me.

1205. What is the poet's object in introducing these lines, where Orestes requires his sister to lay down the urn? Would there have been an ill omen in Electra's holding his supposed ashes while he revealed himself ? Or did he linger with the usual reluctance with which men enter upon the disclosure of something momentous, and thus guide the conversation to a point where he could declare himself with greater ease, and more naturally?
 it at all.
1214. äт九ноs тov̂ тєӨขךкóтos, unworthy of the dead, i. e. unfit to possess his ashes, or even to call him brother.
1217. $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ 入ó $\gamma \varphi \gamma^{\prime} \gamma^{\jmath} \sigma \kappa \eta \mu \epsilon ' \nu \circ \nu$, except as artfully represented in words, $=\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$, simply.
1220. In the moment of recognition, as the hearts of the
two beat quicker, they ask and answer in half-verses. So Schneidewin.

1222-1224. ラ̄ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, what then! For $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ in questions,
 ther's seal-ring which I have; for $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ d e p e n d s ~ o n ~ \sigma \phi \rho a-~$ fî̀a, and $\mu \circ v$ on $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma ф \rho а \gamma i ̂ ठ a . ~$

 __Sed imprimis compares Odys. xvii. 41." Schaefer. But if $\phi \hat{\omega}$ in the present verse answers to $\sigma \omega T^{\prime} \eta \rho$, meaning Orestes, how can he add, $\phi \lambda_{\tau} \tau \tau о \nu, \sigma \nu \mu \mu а р \tau \nu \rho \omega$ ? It is taken here in the sense of $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$, or $\chi$ a $\alpha$, , or better in that of ${ }_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}^{\boldsymbol{j}} \mu \dot{f} \rho a$.
 $\pi \dot{v} \theta_{\eta}$, inquire no more of any one else, i. e. learn it from myself. Comp. Ed. Col. 1266.
1226. ©s ËXots, as mayest thou have, as I wish thou mayest have.
1230. " $\sigma u \mu \phi o \rho \alpha ̀$ vox est media, quæ in utramque partem accipitur. - Alibi absque ullo ad bonam seu malam for tunam respectu, nihil aliud quam ìmóßaбıv significat." Brunck. Comp. è $\lambda \pi i s$, , övetóos, $\tau \dot{\chi} \eta \eta$.
 'Aүан́́ $\mu \nu$ рооя. Schol.
1239. á $\delta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau a \nu=\pi a \rho \theta^{\prime} \dot{e} \nu o \nu$. It is used perhaps sarcastically with allusion to the unchaste Clytemnestra. For the use of the accusative, see v. 1063. ——The close of this sentence is correctly translated by Brunck thus: nunquam metuendum existimabo inutile illud domi usque desidens mulierum pondus. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ̀ \nu ~ a ̆ \chi \theta o s ~ \gamma v v a \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu=\gamma v \nu a i ̂ k a s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ̀ y ~$ ${ }^{a} \chi$ đos ov̉vas, women who are a mere useless weight; who are good for nothing as far as fighting is concerned. The
 the worthlessness of mankind by Sophocles in a fragment,


Hermann's version of this phrase is, Clytemnestra nimiam
 uous indignation of Electra, nor the answer of Orestes, favors this strange translation. - The Scholiast on this part remarks, that "both speakers have their appropriate characters. Electra, being a woman, and unexpectedly fortunate, is too bold: but Orestes is cautious on account of engaging now for the first time in such an enterprise."

1246-1250. The sense is, Thou didst mention such an evil as ours is, not covered with clouds (i. e. not obscure), that cannot be undone, never to be forgotten. The unusual order of the words arises from strong emotion. - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \beta \beta_{-}$ $\lambda_{\epsilon s}$. Schol. èvépà'és $\mu \circ$, you put me in mind of; more properly, you struck upon, noticed. Comp. a somewhat similar passage, Antig. 857. This verb elsewhere takes a
 verbs in Sophocles, it is construed ad sensum. Comp. v. 1378. - $\lambda_{\eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu ~ h a s ~ a ~ p a s s i v e ~ s e n s e . ~ S e e ~ A n t i g . ~}^{\text {. }}$ 210.
1251. örav mapouvia фрáş, whenever favorable opportunity shall advise it. Here aapoveia has the sense of kalpos, or rather denotes the circumstances of any present time, which would be favorable, if they allowed of free dis-
 тaùt'; Meineke, $\pi a i ̂ ~ \tau a u ̂ \tau ̌ . ~ . ~$
1253. $\delta$ tấs ... रpóvos. Brunck renders this by quodvis ... tempus, and so Hermann seems to understand it. But if the sense were, Any time would be proper for the mentioning of these things, should we not have râs kapós? The meaning seems to be, The whole, the whole of time, whilst it was present, would be proper (i. e. would be a fitting occasion) for me to tell of these things, i. e. I can very properly speak of these things for ever. rapळ̀ alludes elegantly to mapovia. With less excusable exaggeration, Isocrates says (Ad Demonicum, near the beginning), $\dot{\epsilon}_{\pi i 2 \lambda i-}$
 тарı $\theta \mu \eta \sigma а і \mu \in \theta a$ ．

1257．тосүарои̃ข $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta$ оv тó $\delta \epsilon$, therefore keep this（freedom）． Hermann says that this phrase means，keep until another time this discourse about our evils．But if so，the answer of Orestes in v． 1259 is substantially a repetition of this verse，and then Electra＇s question，$\tau i \delta \rho \omega \overline{\sigma a}$ ；by doing what？has no meaning．

1260－1262．The sense is，Who then，now that thou art come，could thus substitute，properly at least，silence for words？ákiav may be conveniently rendered by an ad－ verb．——皿领，thus，i．e．as you bid me do．

1266．The MSS．have $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \hat{\omega} \rho \sigma \epsilon \nu$ here，but the number of syllables ought to be the same as in the corresponding line of the strophe．The word $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \circ$ ópı $\sigma \in \nu$, sent onwards with a fair wind，would suit the sense，but the middle syllable of катади́б价 in the strophe is short．Dindorf gives énáplaєע， which can have the meaning brought on the way．This I have admitted into the text．

1274．ódòv is taken with фau $\bar{\eta} \nu a u$ ，which contains the idea of coming．The sense here is，$O$ ，since thou hast deigned thus to appear to me，after so long，on a most welcome jour－ $n e y, \& c$ ．
 （and not aù $\bar{\eta} s$ ．See Herm．on this passage）．
 deprive thee of it．

1280－1284．$\tau i \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ av̀；So Herm．after Seidler，for $\tau i$ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ov̀；which can have no place here．－av̇\＆áv，the voice of Orestes．－The next two lines seem to mean，I kept my feelings from utterance，listening without a cry．For
 these lincs to be referred to some past event．Brunck un－ derstands them，as well as aviday，of the story that Orestes
was dead. But it is difficult to perceive the precise import of the passage; and it is no doubt corrupt.
1291. The synonymous words of this line are significant of the tautology and prolixity into which Electra would be apt to fall.
1292. xpávov кaıóv, opportunity afforded by time.
 - $\nu \hat{\varphi} \nu$, Orestes and Pylades. - $\mu a ́ r \eta \nu=\psi \in \nu \delta \bar{\omega} s$. See v. 63.

1301-1303. ä $\pi \omega s$ каì $\sigma$ oi. кaì often stands after words of comparison, to show connection. Comp. v. 1146; Mt. § 620 , under $\kappa a l .2$. The fulness of the expression, ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega} \delta \delta} \ldots$ $\hat{y}_{j} \delta \epsilon$, and the emphatic place of the latter word, mark the strength of Electra's acquiescence in his wishes. - Tovнóv, my part or conduct. - Tàs $\grave{j} \delta o \nu a ́ s, ~ m y ~ p l e a s u r e s . ~-~ . ~$



 (the state of affairs there, in the house), like èveciêe, v. 1339. ——That Ægisthus was not in the house was implied in vv. 1240, 1241.
 $\delta$. $\dot{\boldsymbol{s} s}$ or or $\boldsymbol{r}$. In these cases the object of the fear is expressed without the additional idea of guarding against it. Comp. v. 1427 ; Mt. § 520, Obs. 1.
 odium, tanquam infusa cera; animo adhærere dicitur." Brunck.
1312. The sense, according to Hermann, is, I will never wholly cease from taking pleasure in shedding tears. But as the simple genitive without $\dot{v} \pi \grave{a}$ is sometimes used after passive verbs (Mt. § 375, Obs. 1), रapâs may be for imd $\chi a p a \hat{s}$, and the participle be joined with ${ }^{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \xi \omega$. Schaefer alters $\chi$ apâs into $\chi a \rho a ̂$.
1322. For $\grave{\epsilon} \pi!\dot{\prime} \nu \sigma a$ used where we should expect a pres-
 or door-way. $\ddagger \xi \circ \delta o s$ often denotes a place, either with the genitive of $\pi \dot{u} \lambda \eta, \theta_{v}^{\prime} \rho a, \theta v \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, as in v. 328, Æsch. Sept. ad Theb. 33, 58, or alone, as in Antig. 1184, Eurip. Androm. 1143.
 Electra, says Hermann, " the double sense, of which the tragic poets make frequent use, is worthy of notice. For these words would of course be understood, by people belonging to the house, of the ashes of Orestes. But Electra herself uses them in reference to Orestes alive," who could neither be turned away, coming as he did, nor be a pleasant inmate.


 tation. -In the 1329th line, the emphasis is on mapà and $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ : since you are not aware that you are not by, but in.
1332. The sense is, What you are doing (making ready to do) would have been in the house before your persons.

 $\pi \rho \grave{̀}$ тov̂ $\theta a v o ́ v \tau o s, ~ t o ~ h a v e ~ a ~ c a r e ~ f o r, ~ t a k e ~ u p ~ t h e ~ c a u s e ~ o f, ~$ (Ed. R. 134.
1337. It is very true to nature that Orestes should delay, and be loth to begin the work (comp. 320), upon which the divinity had sent him, and equally so that Electra, though of all persons most anxious to have it accomplished, should retard it still further by unnecessary questions.
1339. See v. 802 note.
 occasionally denotes to be at hand; ready for use; useful; but the common sense of happening suits the context.

1342. The sense is, Learn that you are a dead man there, i. e. in the house, in the opinion of those who are in the house. - Join eis d̀ $\eta_{\mathrm{in}}^{\mathrm{f}}$.
1344. $\tau \in \lambda \begin{gathered}\text { ounévov, when all is over. Comp. Antig. } 1179 .\end{gathered}$
1345. There is a play upon the word $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s$. $\tau \grave{a} \mu \grave{\eta}$ кa入̂̀s refers to the wicked joy of Clytemnestra at the death of Orestes, and to her security on that account. So Herm.
 mind, i. e. cannot form an idea, cannot conceive.
1354. See v. 1224.
1357. The hands are addressed, as having rescued Orestes, and were, as Wunder observes, perhaps held by the actor of Electra's part.

 subjects of discourse, things to be told of. $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \dot{\mu} \sigma \varphi$, in the interim, i. e. since Orestes and the old man went away.
1365. kukגoî̀rau, the reading of excellent MSS., I have received into this edition, for kukגov̀ $\sigma$. The latter, if the true reading, is neuter in sense.
1366. " rav̂ra ex abundante positum, nam accusativus a verbo $\delta \in i \xi o v a \iota \nu$ pendens, jam v. 1364 præcessit: qui cum sit masculini generis, ex regula syntactica debebat hic sequi roírovs ... $\sigma a \phi \varepsilon i$ is. Sed ita Græci sæpius neutris utuntur." Schaefer.

1372-1375. ${ }^{\text {en }}$ pyov here is to be supplied both as a predicate of $\epsilon_{\ell}^{\prime \prime}$ and with $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{1}$. . The sense is, This business or duty cannot be one (a duty) of long discourses, i, e. cannot need them; but our duty is to go, \&c. ovoiev is here used adverbially. - $\quad$ © $\delta \eta$, statues. See Ruhnken on Timæus in voce, and Passow. Comp. also v. 635, and the note there.
1378. The neuter $\pi \rho o{ }^{2} \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ takes an accusative from its connection with $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \chi \in \rho t$; the sense being, I supplicated
 offerings I had, with such poor offerings as I had it in my
power to make. The prepositions $\dot{a} \pi o ̀$ and $\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa$ are her used, because the offerings, so to speak, were that fron which the prayers started, on which they were founded ——Brunck gives the spirit of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$ oĩ $\omega \boldsymbol{\jmath} \not{ }_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ by cum verbi qua sola habeo.

1384-1397. троує́цєта!, feeds or ravages onward, ad

 comp. vv. 488-491. For кúves, see Prometh. 803. ovet $\rho a y$, the presentiment, which they expressed also in $v$ 472. - aìpoó $\mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$, in suspense, unfulfilled. —— $\nu \in a \kappa o ́ v \eta$ rov ai $\mu \alpha$ - the reading of nearly all the authorities - ha forced ancient and modern interpreters to render ai $\mu$ sword. But how the word can have that meaning, it i hard to see; unless it be taken harshly in the sense of cause of bloodshed, instrument of death. Herm., from : Schol., elicits $\nu \epsilon \circ \kappa o ́ v \eta \tau o \nu$, which is probably a mere mistak of a scribe, and is explained by the Schol. as if it wert рєaкóvqrov. 'This unused word Hermann derives from коу'ŋ occisio, a word only found in Hesychius; and translate the clause, recens profuso sanguine manus conspersas $h a$ bens. As a parallel passage, he cites veoфóvors ẻv aifuart from Eurip. Electr. 1172. But aside from the fact that nt such word exists as $\nu \in \circ \kappa o ́ v \eta \tau o s$, it is a fatal objection to thi emendation, that the $\tau \epsilon \rho \mu a$ ( v . 1397) was not yet reached and the blood was not yet on the hands of Orestes. The
 planation of this passage that I have seen is in the addend to Lachmann de Choricis Systematis Trag. Græc., whe says, "Orestes cædem recens incitatam in manibus habere dicitur." ${ }^{〔} \chi \in \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \chi \in \rho \sigma i$, and similar phrases, often denote to have on one's hands, to be engaged in; but the sense recens incitatam given to עєaкóvŋтov needs support. This think the best view of the passage, although Schneidewin in his edition published this year (1853), still adheres to the old one.
1398. It was natural for Electra to enter with her brother. By devising a good reason for bringing her out again, the poet not only spares her an unbecoming situation, but finds occasion for one of the most fearfully sublime scenes in the ancient drama. He improves much on his predecessor's parallel scene. $\pi a i ̂ \sigma o \nu, ~ \epsilon i ~ \sigma \theta \hat{e} v \epsilon \epsilon s, \delta i \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$, can hardly be read without shuddering.
1399. $\tau \in \lambda \begin{gathered}\text { ov } \sigma \iota \\ \text { is probably future, with which tense autika }\end{gathered}$ is chiefly used.
1401. $\lambda_{\epsilon ́ \beta \eta \tau a . . . ~ \tau a ́ \phi o v ~ d e n o t e ~ h e r e ́, ~ k e t t l e ~ a n d ~ f u n e r a l ~}^{\text {a }}$ feast, according to the Schol. and Bruncl's translation. But Orestes and his companion would hardly have stood by Clytemnestra during that office. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \beta \eta s$ is the cinexary urn, as in Choëph. 675 (686).
1405. $\pi \lambda^{\prime} \dot{a}$, feminine plural nominative for $\pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \alpha$, from $\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$, Attic for $\pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon_{0}$ (?). So after Elms. on Med. 259,
 not in doubt whose are the cries, but dreads to name her mother. Schneidewin.
1410. $\mu a \lambda^{\prime}$ a ${ }^{\hat{v}}$, indeed, again. A very common formula in repeating exclamations, as in v. 1416.
1412. The imperfect $\boldsymbol{q}^{\mathbf{k} \pi \tau \boldsymbol{\rho} \rho \epsilon \tau o}$ is used, because there is a definite reference to the time of the murder.
1414. $\gamma^{\ell v e d}$ tádava, not Clytemnestra and Ægisthus, but the Pelopidæ in general, as the Chorus forebodes further calamities, affecting the state also. Comp. vv. 504-515. ——каөaцєрía. Schol. катà $\tau а u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\rho} \rho a \nu$, and so modern interpreters. $\phi \theta i v e l$ is rarely, if ever, transitive. Herm. changes it into $\phi \theta i v \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, with the construction $\mu$ oip $\alpha$ é $\sigma \tau \iota \sigma \epsilon$ $\phi \theta i v \epsilon i v$.
1415. $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$. Comp. Antig. 1307.
 which would require, as Hermann remarks, that the sentence, if fully written out, should be ci yà $\pi \lambda \eta v \dot{n}$ oot ein, Aiviof $\theta \quad \tau \in \delta \mu o v$. But the ellipṣis is harsh, and the sense
unsuitable; for Clytemnestra was already smitten. Schneidewin governs Aijiaө $\omega$ by $\dot{\delta} \mu o \hat{v}$, and gives the sense, Would you were smitten together with Egisthus! But the poet could only have said, Would that Egisthus had been smitten in company with thee ! i. e. would have used the nominative. Either supply $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \bar{\eta} \epsilon$ ' $\eta$, Would that FEgisthus had
 me" together with you! which is the fiercest mockery of the cries of the adulteress.

1417-1420. $\tau \epsilon \lambda o v \sigma_{\iota}$ is intransitive, as in Choëph. 1008 (Blomf.), and in the example there given by Blomf. - _ и́лєฏ̆aı phrase is like $\begin{aligned} & \text { eitiv aija, (Ed. R. 996. - The closing }\end{aligned}$ sentence is an imitation of Choëph. 873 (886), where a


1422, 1423. These two lines were formerly given to Electra, but belong without doubt to the Chorus, as Erfurdt first remarked. For, 1. The Chorus ordinarily introduces a new-comer, at the close of a lyric passage, with каi $\mu \eta \eta$. 2. The lines are too cool for Electra. 3. The strophe and antistrophe, where the latter is entire, agree not only in the same number of corresponding lines being given to a speaker, but, in every instance except one, of syllables also. - ov̉ $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon_{\chi} \chi^{\omega} \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota$, but $I$ cannot say how the matter will result. The Chorus seems to think of the final result; whether Orestes would overcome Ægisthus or perish, and Electra's eagerness interrupts the full expression of thought. Hermann, after Erfurdt's conjecture, puts $\psi^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ for $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$. $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ also has been proposed as an emendation; I cannot bear to look on them. I have put ou $\delta^{\prime}$ for ov $\delta$, which the sense seems to demand.
1424. $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ кирє̂́. A syllable is wanting. Reisig conjectured кирєітє, but the answer of Orestes requires кирєi. Hermann, after Erfurdt, gives $\kappa v \rho \epsilon i ̂ ~ \delta ́ \epsilon$. Here $\delta$ è refers to something suppressed, such as, I see that their hands are
dripping with blood, but —. кupeî đád', a recent conjecture of Kolster's, in the Philologus, Vol. V. No. 2, deserves notice.
1425. "Matricidam ne spectatores aversarentur, omnis culpa perpetratæ cædis in Apollinem statim conferenda erat." Schaef. It was admirably thought of by the poet to make renewed mention here of the commission under which Orestes acted; and also, by the form of his answer, to reveal a half-awakened doubt arising in his mind, whether he were acting rightly. Such doubts always arise in new and agitating scenes, in the first moments of reflection, especially in cases where the form of the act is usually
 v. 1309.

 and thus affords another instance of $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ for $\hat{e}_{\nu}$ after verbs of sight.
 Өिov; ouxxì Өẫoov; Ed. R. 430.

 "Est àvritupov locus in ædibus interior oppositus foribus." Herm.


1435. " $\mathfrak{j}$ עoeis verba esse Electræ strophe docet. Hoc dicit illuc nunc quo cogitas propera." Herm.
1442. Ægisthus shows by his questions his ignorance of the particulars of the news concerning Orestes; and by her replies Electra leads him to believe that the dead body of Orestes has been brought. Yet in this she only indulges her desire to delude him, without meaning to carry out a plot based upon the dead body of Clytemnestra. For how could such a plot have been rationally formed, when it was
not known how much of the news Ægisthus had heard, and whether the story about the ashes of Orestes had not reached him. Orestes, however, from within, hears the conversation, which may be supposed to have been carried on in a loud tone; and is thus prepared to understand the delusion of $\not$ Egisthus with regard to the dead body, and to act accordingly upon his first coming in.
1445. Comp. Antig. 441. - крiva, I ask. Comp. Ajax 586.
1449. This is the first of a number bf passages containing a double sense, in which divine justice, by the mouth of Electra, scoffs at the miserable man, and shows to the spectator most fearfully with what entire security and raised hope he is rushing upon his destruction. The sense conveyed to Ægisthus was, For $I$ should be a stranger to a calamity of my friends that most intimately concerns me (i. e. the death of Orestes). But Electra really meant, For $I$ should be a stranger to an event the most dear among events that have occurred to me, i. e. the most welcome (i. e. the return of Orestes). For $\sigma \nu \mu \phi o \rho a$, see v. 1230. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ can be both $m y$ friends, and $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\sigma \nu \mu ф о р \omega \hat{\nu}$.
1451. There is a double sense here, if кaтívvady can denote both confecerunt (iter), they made for a kind hostess's (house), and confecerunt (rem) contra. But the genitive in the first sense is harsh, for катŋ́pvaav $\pi \rho \circ \underline{\xi} \notin \nu 0 v$ cannot well
 the genitive ever occurred with the verb in the other sense may be doubted. Schneidewin seeks to remove the difficulty by making $\phi i \lambda \eta s$ moogévou absolute, the hostess being kind, they turned in, and the hostess being a relative, they despatched the matter. Dindorf says, " кat $\dot{\nu} v a \sigma a \nu$ cum genitivo conjunctum idem est quod $\stackrel{\text { é } \tau \rho v \chi o \nu . " ~}{\text { 人 }} \boldsymbol{i \lambda \eta s}$ then can have both a serious and an ironical sense, and the verb can denote ordinary or hostile meeting.
1453. Wex, on Antig. 4, observes, that the natural order
 These latter words being parenthetical, où is repeated. Or we may say, that $\lambda{ }^{2} \gamma_{\varphi}$ answers to ${ }^{\prime \prime} p \gamma_{\varphi}$ contained in the sense of $\overline{\epsilon \pi} \boldsymbol{\pi} \delta \iota \iota \xi a v$. The plain sense conveyed to Ægisthus here is, "No! not reported him dead merely, but they have even shown him as such." But there may be a second sense in the words, viz. "No! not only reported him dead, but they have even shown him (sc. living) by something more than words." .

1454, 1455. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau t$, sc. à $\theta a \nu \dot{\omega} \nu$. Egisthus asks, Is the dead present, so that I can clearly ascertain it? or mápéть may be impersonal. Electra replies, He is present, and a very undesirable sight; by which he understood, undesirable for her, while she meant that the reputed dead person was at hand, a sight most unwelcome to Ægisthus.
1457. ráde. The death of Orestes, as Ægisthus understands it; but of Clytemnestra, as Electra means.
 ad spectandum. Apte Erfurdtius adscripsit Aristoph. Nub.
 Herm. - Others deny that àvaòeıuvivau mùdas can be used in this sense, and read $\pi$ iरaus. The sense then would be, To show (the remains) at the portals, for all Mycence and Argos to see.
 Comp. Ed. Col. 804, and $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a ̂ \nu ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a, ~ A j a x ~ 1077 . ~$

1464, 1465. Hermann thinks that Electra opened the doors while saying these words, and meant that she had done all she could to bring Egisthus into the snare, while he understood her as professing submission. - $\quad \tau \nu \mu \phi \dot{\varphi} \rho \epsilon \nu$, to agree with, seek to please. - тoîs креiб⿱o兀ot , i. e., as she means it, Orestes and Pylades.
1466. I read $\epsilon \grave{y}$ here with Brunck, after Tyrwhitt's conjecture. For $\phi \theta^{\prime}$ vas and $\nu^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma s$, see Alcest. 1135, note, and

Philoctet. 776. The sense is, I see a sight, 一 the envy of the Gods apart, - that has happily taken place; but if divine displeasure ensues, $I$ do not say so; i. e. if the Gods see elation of mind in my words, I recall them. Hermann retains ov, the MS. reading, and translates the first clause thus: Video corpus non sine deorum invidia prostratum; making фár $\mu a$ mean the body presented to view.
1470. ßáata̧̧, lift, sc. the veil.
1477. $\pi^{\prime} \pi \pi \tau \omega \chi^{\prime}$, i. e. $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \omega \kappa a$, as the context, and the rareness of the elision of $\epsilon$ in the third persons singular of verbs (Alcest. 901, note), show. Gruppe, a German critic of the Greek drama, has carelessly supposed the third person to be here used. (Ariadne, p. 22.) For $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \omega \kappa a \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, see $\mathbf{v}$. 747, note.
1478. The sense is, Dost thou not perceive then all this while that thou art holding discourse with the living as with the dead? i. e. with him alive whom thou supposedst dead. The words are purposely dark and enigmatical.
1481. I have followed Hermann in making this line interrogative. The sense is, And were you, good guesser that you are (now), so long in an error?
1483. кầ $\sigma \mu \mu \kappa \rho o \partial y$, sc. $\pi a p \hat{\eta} s$, or $\mathfrak{\eta}$. The sense is, Thouğh it be but little, let me say something, i. e. I wish to say something, though it be little that you will allow me to say.
1485. The thought is, For what gain can that one of mortals, involved in crimes, who must at all events die, derive from delay?
1488. $\tau a \phi \in \hat{\sigma} \tau \nu$, sc. birds and dogs. Brunck aptly cites Odys. iii. 259, where this is threatened as the punishment of Egisthus, if Menelaus should return and find him alive.


1495. A striking thought, which both prevents a stage death, and $3 x h i b i t s ~ d i v i n e ~ j u s t i c e ~ i n ~ a ~ c l e a r ~ l i g h t . ~$
1496. Hermann denies that $a \downarrow \nu$ can be properly used here. The MSS. omit $a ̀ \nu$ or $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$, leaving the verse imperfect.
1500. The sense is, This art you boast of was not your father's. If Agamemnon had been a good diviner, he would have avoided the snare laid for him on his return home.
 supplied rather than $\delta^{\prime}$ ' $\delta o u k a s$, which Brunck expresses in his translation. What! must I go before, lest I should escape thee? Hermann and others read $\eta$ for $\eta$. Orestes, in his reply, scornfully imitates his language, Lest, as for that matter (oủv), you should die to your mind.
 .. . ö $\sigma \tau \iota$, see K. § 332, R. 1 ; Cr. § 497.

 i. e. of troubles.

## METRES.

For 8' at the end of v. 1017, comp. Antig. 1031. For the first syllable of $\epsilon \beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \epsilon$, used short, v. 440, comp. v. 1081, a lyric place, and Emsley on Medea 288.
77. Parœmiac. See v. 88. iò is a spondee, as in $v$. 150.
$86-102=103-120$. Anapæsts. V. 1, a monometer; vv. 3, 4, paræmiacs of the spondaic sort; the rest, dimeters. In v. 87 all the MSS. have ióó though the $a$ of $\mathfrak{a} \eta \rho$ is properly long. Porson proposed to read loó $\mu \circ \circ \rho^{\prime} a^{\prime} \eta \rho$, which Dindorf follows. In two examples cited from poets posterior to Sophocles, àjo has a short penultimate syllable.

121-136=137-152.
Verse 1. Glyconean. $\perp \ldots \perp-\mid \pm \simeq \sim$ _ (Polyschematist. See Munk, Amer. transl., p. 136.)
2. $=1$.
3. Glyconean with a trochaic close, or ecbasis, $=$ Antig. 833. $\quad$ - 1 - ~~- - 1 -
4, 5. Dactylic tetrameters.
6. Iamb. trimeter.
7. Antispast. and iamb. penthemim.

Herm. Epitome, § 220, or ithyphallicus with a trisyllabic basis. $-\perp$ - 1 - $-\ldots$ (Munk, p. 70.)
8. Dactyl. trimeter. (?)

9-12. Dactyl. tetrameters.
13. Dactyl. tetrameter, with double basis.
14. Iamb. dimeter catalect.
15. do. penthemim.

In v. 3, " Monckius recte monuit, द̌vínut secundâ correptâ deberi metro dactylico, heroici versus leges sequenti, pariterque "Irvy in ictu ultimam productam habere." Herm. -Wunder in his Conspectus calls v. 13 a dactyl. tetram. preceded by two trochai sementi, i. e. trochees of double time (see Herm. Elem. iii. § 13), but in his Electra he calls it a dactyl, tetram. preceded by an anapæst. monom.

$$
153-172 .=173-192 .
$$

Verse 1. Parœmiac (spondaic, as v. 88).
2. Lamb. tripody.
3. Two iamb. penthemim.
$4 .=3$.
5. Dactyl. hexameter.
6. Iamb. dimeter catalect. (à $\chi \in \omega \nu, \theta \in o ́ s$, dissyllabic by synizesis).
7. Two iambi with ecbasis, _ ' cording to Wunder, iambus and antispast, _ 今, - 1 -.)
8. $=7$.
9. Dactyl. tetram.
10. Jamb. trim. catalect.

$12 .=3$.
13-16. Dactyl. tetrameters.

17．＝7．Two iambi with basis；but according to Wundèr，antispast．and iambus．

$$
-11-,-1
$$

18．Antispast．and iamb．penthemim．or ithyphall． with basis．See verse 7 of the preceding strophe．
$193-212=213-232$.
Versès 1－7．Anapæstic．Vv． 1 and 3 parœmiacs；the rest dimeters．They belong to the spondaic or free sort．See Herm．Elem．ii．§ 32． 13.
8．Ithyphallicus．
9－12，14．Anapæstic，and like the foregoing． V． 9 of the strophe closes with a hiatus， which is a license；and the final vowel of á $\mu \dot{f} \rho a$ is shortened．V． 10 is a parœmiac ； v．12，a monometer．
13．Dochmius．－$\doteq \perp$－
15．Iamb．dipody and creticus．

$$
-\therefore-11-
$$

16．Troch．dimeter catalect．
17．Iamb．dimeter．－今ー へ，ー ー－ 18．$=17$ ．
19．Dactyl．tetrameter．
20．Iamb．dimeter catalect．$\simeq \doteq ー ー, ~ ー ニ$
233－250．Epode of the foregoing．
Verses 1－3．Parcmiacs（spondaic）．
4，5．Dactyl．tetrameters．
6－10．Anapæstic dimeters（spondaic）．
11－12．Three dochmii．— $\bigcirc \perp-\perp$ Or three logaced．dactyl．rows of the form，

$$
\perp \text { - - - - }
$$

13－14．Two troch．penthemim．Comp．Alcest 217.

15．Glyconean．$\quad$－ $1 \perp \sim-\ldots$
16．Iamb．penthemim．
17．$=\mathrm{v} .7$ of strophe 1.
$472-487 .=488-503$.
Verse 1．Choriamb．dimeter with a basis，$=$ Antig． 945.

$$
1-\mid 1-\cup-1-\sim
$$

2．Glyconic．＿-1 －
（See Munk，p．93．One syllable longer than Antig．336．）
3．Ithyphallicus．
4．Iamb．trimeter．
5．Iamb．penthemim．，and iamb．tripody．

$$
-1--1 \sim 1 \sim \ldots
$$

6．Iamb．tripody．$-\perp-\sim=$
7．Logaœedic dactylic（one dact．，two troch．）．
8．Troch．dimeter catalect．
9．Iamb．dimeter hypercatalect．
－ 1 －－－
10．Dochmius．$\quad-1 \perp\llcorner 1$ $11,12=9,10$ ．
13．Logaced．dactyl．with anacrusis（one dactyl，two trochees）followed by a creticus and a tro－ chee．So Wunder．

$$
-\div-\sim_{-}=1 \div-1-
$$

 where one syllable is dropped off in each following trochaic clause，has great strength in it．（For the Glyconic part，comp．Munk， p．91．）
504－515．Epode of the foregoing．Vv． 4 and 10，cre－ tici．All the rest iambi ischiorrhogici，according to Herm． $=\cong \simeq \perp \_1$ ；but verses composed of an iambus and an antispast，according to Wunder．$\simeq \doteq 1=11=$

V． 515 ，with the new reading of this edition，has the form，
$824-836=837-848$.
Verse 1. Iamb. dipody and choriam. dimeter.

$$
-\infty-11---1--
$$

2. Choriamb. dimeter hypercatalect., or with a logaced. ending. And so of the next line. Comp. Munk, p. 132.
3. Choriamb. monometer hypercatalect. with anacrusis. $\quad-\mid \perp-\sim-$
4. Ionicus a minore. - - 1
$5,6 .=3$, with a long syllable, pronounced apart, between them.
5. $=4$.
6. Choriamb. dimeter with anacrusis.
$9,10=8$, without anacrusis.
7. Choriamb. with a closing trochee.

$$
1--1-
$$

According to Wunder and Schneidewin, vv. 1, 2 make one verse, and so vv. 8-11.

$$
849-859=860-870
$$

Verse 1. Cretic and troch. penthemim. (the middle syllable of $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda a i a$ $\delta \epsilon_{\iota} \lambda a i \omega \nu$ being shortened). (?)

$$
\perp--1
$$ So Herm. Wunder.

2-4. (Spondaic) anapæstic: two dimeters and a parœmiac.
5. Dochmius. $-\dot{\perp} 1=1$
6. Cretic dimeter. (The last syllable is anceps.)
7. Dochmius.
8. Iambus. (?)
9. Iambelegus, $=$ Alcest. 876,893 (iambic and dactylic penthemim.
10. Logaœd. dactyl. (one dact., two troch.).
$1058-1069=1070-1081$.
Verse 1. Iamb. penthemim. and Anacreontic verse, $=$ Antig. 838, Prometh. 397.

```
~ ! - - | - - - _- - _
```

2, 3. Anacreontic (two in each line). In v. 3 an anapæst for the second iambus of the closing anacreontic.
4, 5. Glyconean.
6. Pherecratean.

7, 8. Anacreontic, as vv. 2, 3, but with an anacrusis, commencing v. 7.
Vv. 1-3,7,8 are perhaps more satisfactorily reduced into choriambic rows, in which iambic dipodies and choriambuses alternate, closed by a logacedic dactylic clause of two dactyls, two trochees. Comp. the metres, Prometh. 397-424, my ed. Munk, again, p. 320, reduces the lines to a logaced. dactyl. form.
$1082-1089=1090-1097$.
Verse 1. Pherecratean.
2. Troch. trimeter catalect.
3. Choriamb. and trochaic ecbasis, $=$ v. 836.
4. Iamb. dipody, creticus, ithyphallicus, $=$ Alcest. 112, 113 (or cretic dimeter with anacrusis and ithyphal. So Wunder.)
5. Iamb. tetrameter.
6. Two iamb. penthemim. (or iamb. dipody and ithyphallicus).
 тáras is an anapæstic dimeter, interrupted by the interjections. He gives ot $\mu \circ$ for ot $\mu \circ \iota \mu \circ$.
$1232-1252=1253-1272$.
Verse 1. Iamb. dipody.
2, 3. Three dochmii.
4, 5. Iamb. trimeters.

6．ー－－
$7 .=4$ ．
8，9．Two iambi ischiorrhogici．（？）

$$
=1=1-1 \mid=1-1=1
$$

10．Dochmiac dimeter．
11．Tamb．dimeter catalect．and dochm．hypercata－

12，13，Iamb．trimeters．
14．Iamb．tripody．～○～——－
15．Dochm．dimeter．

16．Cretic trimeter．$-\hookleftarrow \Omega,-\Omega\lrcorner,-\propto$ （Or three pæones primi，according to Herm．）

17．Dochm．$\quad \dot{\varrho}$－
18，19．Two iamb．trimeters．
Verse 11 can also be divided into an iamb．tripody，cre－ ticus，and two trochees．

1273－1287．Epode of the foregoing．
Verse 1．Iamb．dipody and dochmius．
2．Dochm．and iamb．dimeter catalectic．
3．Iamb．dimeter．－○ー ○ー 1－－
4，5．Iamb．trimeters catalectic．
6．do．trimeter．
7，8．Two bacchii．
9－14．As these lines are found in the text they are，
9．Troch．pentapody，preceded by a creticus．
10．do．dipody．
11，12．Iamb．trimeters catalectic．
13．Troch．dimeter．
14．do．pentapody．
But as they are corrupt，it is hardly worth while to at－ tempt to reduce them to measure．See a different division of them in Hermann＇s ed，

1384-1390=1391-1397.
Verse 1. Cretic dimeter. $\propto, ~ \triangleright-$
2. Dochm. dimeter.
3. Iamb. trimeter.
4. $=2$.
5. Dochmius.
6. Iamb. dimeter.
7. do. trimeter.
$1398-1421=1422-1441$.
Verses 1-6. Iamb. trimeters.
7. Perhaps Iambic tripody (wanting in the antistrophe) ; or it may be a dochmius, for which Herm takes it.
8, 9. Iamb. trimeters.
$10 .=$ v. 1085 ; Alcest. 112, 113. Cretic dimeter with anacrusis and ithyphallicus.
11-14. Iamb. trimeters.
15. Logaced. dactyl. (two dact., three troch.)
16. do. do. (two dact. troch. penthem.).

17, 18. Iamb. trimeters.
19. Cretic tetrameter with anacrusis.
20. Iamb. trimeter.
21. Antispast. and iamb. penthem. or ithyphal. with basis, $=v .7$ of the first strophe in the drama, or, ending v. 20 at $k \tau a \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$, and ¿○ov́б!, we have iamb. tetrameter catalect. and ithyphallicus.

1508-1510. Anapæst. dimeters.


[^0]:    * It appears to us that Schneidewin, in his excellent recent edition, takes an inadequate view of the poet's art, when he explains vv. 766 768 as the utterance of hypocrisy, desirous to make the best appearance possible before the other characters upon the stage. If this view were not set aside by the obvious consideration, that a great poet like Sophoeles must have been aware that crime of high degree and dread of

[^1]:    vengeanee could not prevent a momentary gush of maternal love, however selfish ealculation might after a little regain its sway over the soul; it certainly is set aside by this, that in the verses referred to there is too much intermixture of satisfaction at the news of Orestes's death, and too little parade of grief, to make out a case of hypocrisy.

